Pomolita Middle School

740 North Spring St. • Ukiah, CA 95482-3937 • (707) 472-5350 • Grades 6-8

Bryan Barrett, Principal

bbarrett@uusd.net

2016-17 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2017-18 School Year



Ukiah Unified School District 511 South Orchard Ave. Ukiah, CA 95482-3411 (707) 472-5000 http://www.uusd.net

District Governing Board

Megan Van Sant Anne Molgaard Gail Monpere Beatriz " Bea" Arkin Carolyn Barrett Zoey Fernandez Tyler Nelson

District Administration

Debra Kubin **Superintendent**

School Description

The Ukiah Unified School District serves the communities of Ukiah, Redwood Valley, Hopland, Calpella, and Talmage, including unincorporated areas. The city of Ukiah is located 112 miles north of San Francisco on Highway 101. Ukiah is the county seat of Mendocino County. Ukiah School District services about 5740 students and is composed of six elementary schools, two middle schools, a continuation high school, a comprehensive high school and an adult school. Pomolita Middle School is located on a 15-acre site in the northwestern portion of Ukiah within the Ukiah city limits with a total student population of just over 800 students.

School Vision and Mission

The mission of Pomolita Middle School is to promote the academic, social and physical development of each student. The school community also believes that the development of each student's sense of citizenship as well as the responsibility for learning and appropriate behavior is central to successful transition to high school. The school community is dedicated to academic excellence, social awareness, and the encouragement of life-long learning.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Grade Level					
Grade Level Number of Students					
Grade 6	198				
Grade 7	314				
Grade 8	302				
Total Enrollment	814				

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Group				
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment			
Black or African American	0.5			
American Indian or Alaska Native	5.2			
Asian	1.6			
Filipino	0.4			
Hispanic or Latino	54.4			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.1			
White	35.5			
Two or More Races	2.3			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	74.4			
English Learners	25.8			
Students with Disabilities	12.3			
Foster Youth	1.8			

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials						
Pomolita Middle School	15-16	16-17	17-18			
With Full Credential	38	36	33			
Without Full Credential	0	4	6			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0			
Ukiah Unified School District	15-16	16-17	17-18			
With Full Credential	•	•	311			
Without Full Credential	•	*	26			
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	*	+	0			

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School							
Pomolita Middle School 15-16 16-17 17-18							
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0				
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0				
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	1				

^{* &}quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: December 2016							
Core Curriculum Area	Core Curriculum Area Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption						
Reading/Language Arts	Literature (McDougal Littell), Adopted 5/10/11 (7th-8th grade) and Adopted 4/10/12 (6th grade) The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0						
Mathematics	Math Links (6-8), Adopted 4/14/16 Glencoe McGraw-Hill Pre-Algebra CCSS Edition (McGraw-Hill Education), Adopted 5/12/16 Glencoe McGraw-Hill Algebra 1 CCSS Edition (McGraw-Hill Education), Adopted 5/12/16 Glencoe McGraw-Hill Geometry CCSS Edition (McGraw-Hill Education), Adopted 5/12/16 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0						
Science	Focus on Earth Science, Life Science and Physical Science (Pearson Prentice Hall CA Science Explorer), Adopted 8/12/08 (6th-8th grade) The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0						
History-Social Science	History-Social Science for CA (Pearson Scott Foresman) Adopted 8/7/07 (5th grade Glencoe California Series, Ancient Civilizations (6th grade), Adopted 6/20/06 Glencoe California Series, Medieval and Early Modern T imes (7th grade), Adopted 6/20/06 Glencoe California Series, American Journey W orld W ar I (8th grade), Adopted 6/20/06 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0						

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

General

The district takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the district uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction.

Below is more specific information on the condition of the school and the efforts made to ensure that students are provided with a clean, safe, and functional learning environment.

Age of School Buildings

This school has 47 classrooms, a cafeteria, a gym, a library, a computer lab and an administration building. The main campus was originally the High School. Some of those buildings were torn down in 1976. The 500 wing was built in 1950 (8 classrooms). The gym was built in 1952. The Reading building was built in 1952 (9 classrooms). The cafeteria was built in 1956 and the SEM and Choir rooms were built in 1957 (28 classrooms). The new Administration building and Library were built after the High School buildings were torn down in 1976.

Over the years several portable buildings have been added to the campus. The Girl's locker room (a large portable building) was installed in 1967, three more portables in 1978 and one final in 1995. The 500 wing was modernized in 2007.

Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A web-based work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule

The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. The principal and the Director of Maintenance and Operations works with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school. Custodial staff work over the summer to deep clean the classrooms.

Deferred Maintenance Projects

No major projects are anticipated during the 2017/2018 school year.

Technology Projects

Extensive WiFi upgrades have been completed.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 11/01/2017						
System Inspected			Status		Repair Needed and	
.,	Good	F	air	Poor	Action Taken or Planned	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х					
Interior: Interior Surfaces			Х			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х					
Electrical: Electrical			Х		Multiple light tubes failed	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х					
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х					
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х					
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fenc	es X					
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good X	Fair	Poor		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2016-17 CAASPP Results for All Students							
	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard (grades 3-8 and 11)						
Subject	Sch	ool	Dist	District 15-16 16-17		State	
	15-16	16-17	15-16			16-17	
ELA	36	37	36	35	48	48	
Math	20	24	23	24	36	37	

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students							
Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)							
Subject	Sch	ool	Dist	trict	State		
	14-15	15-16	14-15 15-16		14-15	15-16	
Science	37	38	39	43	60	56	

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Grade 2016-17 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Sta							
Level	4 of 6 5 of 6 6 of 6						
7	19.7	18.7	22.6				

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)

Science (grades 3, 6, and 10)							
Constru	Number of	Students	Percent of Students				
Group	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced			
All Students	311	292	93.9	37.7			
Male	141	133	94.3	38.4			
Female	170	159	93.5	37.1			
American Indian or Alaska Native	16	14	87.5	14.3			
Hispanic or Latino	159	148	93.1	24.3			
White	120	114	95.0	55.3			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	233	219	94.0	30.1			
English Learners	70	64	91.4	1.6			
Students with Disabilities	42	39	92.9	15.4			
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	20	18	90.0	16.7			

^{*} Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)	
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven	

Disaggregated b	Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven						
Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded			
All Students	802	788	98.25	36.99			
Male	411	404	98.3	29.1			
Female	391	384	98.21	45.29			
Black or African American							
American Indian or Alaska Native	36	35	97.22	22.86			
Asian	13	13	100	69.23			
Filipino							
Hispanic or Latino	440	436	99.09	28.11			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander							
White	284	276	97.18	50.36			
Two or More Races	21	20	95.24	50			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	598	585	97.83	28.18			
English Learners	330	329	99.7	21.1			
Students with Disabilities	101	95	94.06	8.51			
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	54	54	100	14.81			
Foster Youth	15	14	93.33	14.29			

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven							
Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded			
All Students	802	776	96.76	24.26			
Male	411	397	96.59	22.73			
Female	391	379	96.93	25.86			
Black or African American							
American Indian or Alaska Native	36	34	94.44	17.65			
Asian	13	13	100	53.85			
Filipino							
Hispanic or Latino	440	432	98.18	17.63			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander							
White	284	269	94.72	33.46			
Two or More Races	21	20	95.24	30			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	598	576	96.32	17.57			
English Learners	330	325	98.48	12			
Students with Disabilities	100	91	91	3.33			
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	54	53	98.15	11.32			
Foster Youth	15	14	93.33	14.29			

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Parents are an integral part of our students' educational experience; therefore, many opportunities for parent involvement are available. The School Site Council is an advisory group of parent and school staff leaders, which meets regularly to provide input and assistance in making decisions that affect the entire school population. The English Language Advisory Committee focuses on the program and progress of our English language learners. Members of the ELAC group can also participate in the district level DELAC team. Athletic boosters and the Parent Teacher Organization (PTO) are active groups that promote and support extracurricular activities. Eighth grade parents meet to prepare the festivities of their students' promotion. Workshops are offered each year to provide information and education to parents. These education nights include topics that are current and relevant to middle school students and their parents. This year's parent nights will focus on technology and Chromebooks because of our school's 1-to-1 Chromebook program. Teachers use Aeries, an online student information system program that parents can use to check grades, attendance, and communicate with teachers. Early student dismissals on Wednesdays allow staff to participate in Professional Learning Communities (PLCs). The PLCs provide opportunities for teachers to prepare units and assessments based on Common Core Standards. Eagle Peak teachers regularly participate in PLCs with Pomolita Middle School to collaboratively design and implement curriculum directly. Parents are encouraged and welcome to volunteer time in classrooms as well. We have two websites specifically for our parents and students. The student webpage is their designated homepage when they open up their Chromebook. It has school information, announcements, classroom projects and much more. This year we added a Digital Media class where the announcements are recorded and posted on the student/parent pages. At the end of the school year Pomolita puts on three parent informati

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Our Safe School Plan includes the following elements: Annual Statistical Report, Child Abuse Reporting, Disaster procedures, Suspensions/Expulsions, Notification of Dangerous Pupils, Sexual Harassment Policy, Dress Code Prohibiting "Gang Related" Apparel, Student/Employee Safety to and From School, Safe/Orderly Environment, Discipline Rules and Procedures, and Crisis Plan. This plan is updated annually.

Suspensions and Expulsions						
School	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17			
Suspensions Rate	16.74	13.33	16.69			
Expulsions Rate	0.8	0.94	0.12			
District	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17			
Suspensions Rate	7.5	6.87	8.75			
Expulsions Rate	0.39	0.28	0.25			
State	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17			
Suspensions Rate	3.79	3.65	3.65			
Expulsions Rate	0.09	0.09	0.09			

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2017-18 Federal Intervention Program					
Indicator	School	District			
Program Improvement Status	In PI				
First Year of Program Improvement	2007-2008				
Year in Program Improvement	Year 3				
Number of Schools Currently in Program Impr	11				
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Impro	73.3				

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School				
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Academic Counselor 2.0				
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0			
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.0			
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.75			
Psychologist	0.4			
Social Worker	0.0			
Nurse	0.2			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.6			
Resource Specialist	0.0			
Other	0.0			
Average Number of Students per Staff Member				
Academic Counselor 804				

One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)												
			·	Number of Classrooms*								
	A	verage Class Si	ize	1-22 23-32				33+				
Subject	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
English	23	23	22	12	12	11	21	21	18	1	1	
Mathematics	23	23	19	7	7	13	21	21	18			
Science	26	26	24	3	3	7	18	18	17	2	2	
Social Science	25	25	23	4	4	10	19	19	14	1	1	1

^{*} Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

All staff -- certificated, classified and administrative -- is committed to ongoing professional growth. Early Wednesday dismissals allow staff to participate in Professional Learning Communities. The PLC provides an opportunity for teachers to prepare lessons and assessments that address the Common Core Standards. The early dismissal schedule provides teachers an opportunity to work closely and focus on the alignment of common core curriculum and student progress in each respective discipline. Leadership opportunities are provided for teachers who want to lead the professional growth of their colleagues and staff. As continued professional development these PLC's meet across content areas to create Cross Curricular Units. Through the District Leadership Network, professional growth is passed through trained teacher leaders who are responsible for informing and disseminating information to other staff. Teachers attend conferences on an ongoing basis and participate in both district and state level training's in order to provide the best possible instructional practices that assure student success at all grade levels. Many of these training's focus on technology integration for classroom instruction. With the District focus on Evidence-based writing to meet the needs for Common Core, there are training days for a cadre of teachers on writing. These teachers receive in-depth professional development and then present what they learned to the whole staff.

FY 2015-16 Teacher and Administrative Salaries						
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category				
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$45,604	\$46,511				
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$64,549	\$73,293				
Highest Teacher Salary	\$88,440	\$92,082				
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$100,315	\$113,263				
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$107,423	\$120,172				
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$114,746	\$131,203				
Superintendent Salary	\$176,945	\$213,732				
Percent of District Budget						
Teacher Salaries	36%	36%				
Administrative Salaries	7%	5%				

*	For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries &
	Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/ .

FY 2015-16 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries						
11	Ехр	Average				
Level	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Teacher Salary		
School Site	\$6,387	\$1,666	\$4,721	\$64,164		
District	+	•	\$4,698	\$66,898		
State	* *		\$6,574	\$74,476		
Percent Diffe	erence: School	0.5	-4.1			
Percent Diffe	erence: School	-28.2	-13.8			

Cells with ♦ do not require data.

Types of Services Funded

Students are provided the core program in the areas of Reading, English-Language Arts, Mathematics, Science, Social Studies, Health, Visual and Performing Arts and Physical Education. In addition, identified students receive support services through categorical funds.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.