

# Surplus Property (7-11) Committee Report to the San Carlos School District

## Introduction

### Members:

Karen Clapper	Landowner
Michael Field	Business/Land Use Planning Expert
Michele Francesconi	Teacher/SCTA Representative
Allison Liner	Administrator
Tom Quiggle	Community Member
Hazel Stabinsky	Parent
Murat Sumbal	Business Community Member
Rob Werner	Parent

### Facilitators:

Robert Porter	D.O. Administrator
Cathy Eitel	Staff
Harold Freiman	Attorney

The Surplus Property Committee (SPC) of the San Carlos School District (SCSD) was comprised of a group of community members charged with the duty of providing the SCSD Board of Trustees recommendations relative to the declaration of and use of surplus property. Members of the SPC were appointed by the SCSD Board, these members represent a cross-section of the community which met the provisions of the California Education Code. The SPC operated under the rules for public meetings as required by the Brown Act. They met at regularly scheduled public meetings to discuss the business of the Committee.

Pursuant to Education Code 17390, the Committee is charged to:

- a. Review the projected school enrollment and other data as provided by the District to determine the amount of surplus space and real property.
- b. Establish a priority list for use of surplus space and real property that will be acceptable to the community.
- c. Cause to have circulated throughout the attendance area a priority list of surplus property and provide for hearings of community input to the committee on acceptable uses of space and real property, including the sale or lease of surplus property for child care development purposes pursuant to Section 17458.
- d. Make a final determination of limits of tolerance of use of space and real property.

- e. Forward to the District Governing Board a written report recommending uses of space and real property.
- f. The Committee shall develop a priority list of recommendations and shall present a written report of their findings to the Board of Trustees.

Proposed Surplus Property List:

Lower western sloping side of the Heather Elementary School site.

Tennis courts located at the upper Arundel Elementary School site.

The District Office located at 1200 Industrial Road, Unit 9 in San Carlos.

The 7-11 committee met on May 5, May 17, and June 1, 2016 and reviewed the following information...

1. Past considerations of site expansion at Heather and Arundel Schools.
2. Historic Student Enrollment and Projected Student Enrollment.
3. Current use of proposed surplus spaces.
4. Community input on acceptable uses of space and real property.

A summary of the community input received is provided in the minutes of the 6/1/2016 meeting in the Appendix.

## Recommendations

In discussing the potential use of property not required for instructional purposes, the committee favors such property be put to a use that provides continuing benefit to the SCSD. For example, if property could be repurposed for developing housing, it would be beneficial to the SCSD to retain ownership of the property and develop below market rate housing made preferentially available to teachers and other SCSD staff. Doing so would help attract and retain critical employees in this high cost-of-living community, and would likely receive greater support from the community than selling or leasing the property to a developer or other party.

The enrollment growth projections provided by the district assume a significant departure from historical growth trends. The enrollment growth is projected to slow to less than 1% beginning in 2018, after which the district projects declining enrollment in 2020, 2021, 2024 and 2025. Going back to 1997, the only time the district has seen declining enrollment was in 2000 and 2001 following the dot-com bust. Starting in 2002, the district has seen continuous enrollment growth averaging 2.38% per year. Even the 2008 market crash did not result in declining enrollment, nor enrollment increases of less than 1%. If the current trend continues, the district could find itself exceeding the planned capacity of 4000 students as early as 2025. Given the historical growth trends and the uncertainty of future needs, the committee feels it would be imprudent to sell property that could reasonably be used to increase school capacity.

## Arundel

The committee reviewed the interests and options for the Arundel Site, reviewed the input from the community and makes the following recommendations:

1. Do not sell the property
2. Continue its use as a vital recreational property for the community's many tennis programs.

## Heather

The committee determined that the parcel of land at the southwest corner of the Heather school site is unsuitable for use as classroom learning space. The committee feels that it is highly unlikely that this space would ever be used for school purposes. As such, it fits the definition of surplus property.

As to uses of the land that would be acceptable to the community, the committee received input from neighbors that development of this parcel would be unwelcome. Preservation as open space accessible to wildlife and dog walkers is the preferred use. Sale or lease of the property to an entity that would preserve the existing or similar use as undeveloped recreational space would be acceptable.

## District Office

The committee would not consider the District Office surplus unless suitable and affordable replacement facility is identified first. Such a facility would either need to be built on existing SCSD land, or the district would need to purchase/lease space elsewhere in San Carlos. Neither of those options appears attractive.

## District Office on Existing SCSD Property

In reviewing past considerations for development at SCSD owned sites, as well as the current plans for the Arroyo and Dartmouth campuses, the committee is not optimistic that a suitable location exists on SCSD property. The most likely locations would be the Heather field space or the section of the Arundel property adjoining San Carlos Avenue that were once considered for building a campus for the Charter Learning Center. However these are the only remaining district lands that could be used for school expansion should enrollment exceed the planned capacity for 4000 students. The committee would not favor building a new district office on one of the few remaining spaces that could be used for future school expansion.

Having the district office at its own location is also considered advantageous to co-locating it at a school campus. A separate location affords no single school's staff greater access to district personnel than any other school. In addition, the existing schools all suffer from limited parking.

At a separate location, district functions such as in-service training that draw staff from multiple school sites do not result in overtaxing the limited parking available at a school site.

## District Office at New Location

The committee is not optimistic that suitable land in San Carlos could be purchased and built to suit for less than the proceeds obtained by selling the existing district office. If the existing District Office were leased, the district would need to locate an alternate facility that could be leased for an amount less than or similar to the revenue generated by leasing the existing District Office. Unless a much less expensive or much more suitable space could be found, a lease swap seems pointless. Ultimately, the committee would not consider the existing District Office surplus before a suitable replacement is identified.

## Appendix

Minutes of the 5/5/2016 Meeting

Minutes of the 5/17/2016 Meeting

Minutes of the 6/1/2016 Meeting

Site Maps

Enrollment Projections

**SURPLUS PROPERTY (7–11) COMMITTEE MEETING**  
**Minutes**

**Date:** May 5, 2016  
**Time:** 6:30 pm  
**Place:** San Carlos School District Office, 1200 Industrial Road, Unit 9, Board Room

**Members/Attendees:** Tom Quiggle, Michele Francesconi, Allison Liner, Rob Werner, Murat Sumbal, Mike Field, Hazel Stabinsky, Harold Freiman and Robert Porter

**Absent:** Karen Clapper

1. **Call to Order:** Meeting was called to order by Robert Porter at 6:33 pm.
2. **Introductions:** Introductions were made by committee members and attendees.
3. **Public Comments:** None.
4. **Purpose/History:**
  - a. **7-11 Committee:** Robert Porter introduced Harold Freiman, the district's land use attorney to the committee. Harold Freiman explained the history and purpose of the 7-11 Committee. Pursuant to the State of California Education Code, an advisory committee shall be formed to advise the district and Board of Trustees in the development of policies and procedures governing the use or disposition of District surplus property. The committee shall consist of not less than seven (7) and not more than eleven (11) members. The committee must represent a cross-section of the community. The purpose of the committee is to hear the community's input and represent the community. In the end, the purpose of the committee is to make a recommendation to the Board on the Surplus Property possibilities and use. The committee can form a sub-committee to draft the proposal for the board, with possibly 3 members. The committee will vote on the proposal. The vote can be a majority; it doesn't need to be unanimous. The Board isn't bound by the recommendation. The committee's recommendation is advisory. The Board could decide to go in another direction.
  - b. **History of Property:** Robert Porter shared a power point presentation on the District's surplus property.
    - Heather Site: 2.9 sloped acres of the lower western sloping side of Heather School. Heather School has never used this parcel. It was considered for CLC, but it was too costly.
    - Arundel Site: 3.1 acres in the area where the tennis courts are currently. Mike Field asked if the district ever thought of using the area for school use. Robert Porter said the district looked into the possibility of using it for field use, but the area needed considerable grading to level. Robert Porter informed the committee that Silicon Valley Tennis Club pays the district rent for the tennis court use. The Tennis Club also maintains the courts. Tom Quiggle shared that originally the city installed the tennis courts, but later the city seeded the tennis courts to the district. Robert Porter mentioned this property doesn't get a lot of student use.

- District Office: 9,000 square feet. District was seeking a long-term lease and while doing so found the property. Robert Porter mentioned the property was purchased for \$2M, but is now estimated to be worth \$3 – 3.5M.

**5. Staff/Legal Counsel/Consultants Roles:** To provide informational data, historical information, legal advice while working to prepare an advisory report to the Board. Robert Porter will be responsible for preparing and posting the agendas.

**6. Norms/Protocols:**

- a. Decision-making process:** Harold Frieman reviewed the protocols with the committee. The committee is charged with: reviewing the projected enrollment and other data to determine the amount of surplus space and real property, establishing a priority list for the use of surplus space and real property, provide list for public hearing for community input, and provide a written report to the Board with a priority list of recommendations.
- b. Brown Act:** Harold Frieman explained the Brown Act which applies to any legislative bodies. All discussions need to take place during scheduled public meetings. Private discussions outside of the meetings with five (5) committee members violate the Brown Act. Members may discuss topics outside of meetings with community members, just not committee members. Knowingly violating the Brown Act is a crime.

**7. Appointment of Committee Chair:** Robert Porter discussed the need for a Committee Chair. A motion was made by Mike Field to nominate Tom Quiggle as Committee Chair. Motion was seconded by Michele Francesconi. Vote: 7-0 (1 Absent) Motion passed without opposition.

**8. Process Overview:**

**9. Review of Data:**

- a. Enrollment:** Robert Porter discussed enrollment projections with the committee. In 2012, the district's enrollment exceeded capacity. In 2018, when new CLC, TL and 4/5 Upper Elementary School are complete, the district will have capacity for 4000. Projections show growth is going to stabilize. Mike Field asked if there were other school sites that could be expanded if the surplus property was disposed. Robert Porter stated Heather site has some space that could be used.
- b. Facilities Master Plan:** Robert Porter mentioned the Facilities Master Plan is to not have more than 400 students at the 4-5 schools and not more than 600 at the 6-8 schools. Hazel Stabinsky asked about the new developments such as Wheeler Plaza and Transit Village and if the district had a plan for the potential increase of students. Tom Quiggle mentioned the district may need to adjust the school boundaries to accommodate students living in a particular area. Mike Field asked if there were other school sites that could be expanded if the surplus property was disposed. Robert Porter said the Heather site has some space that could be used. Robert Porter mentioned the FMP is \$125 million and the bond is \$72 million. He hopes the State Facilities Bond will help bridge the gap along with considering our surplus property.

**10. Requested Data for Next Meeting:**

- District's need for surplus property

- Expansion possibilities of school sites
- City's interest in the District's surplus property
- Analysis of information used when searching for a location for CLC
- Rules on accepting offers from city or county
- Maximum enrollment historically in District and by school sites
- Capacity limits for enrollment of 4000 students
- Total enrollment of "out of district" students
- Proposal samples – examples from other districts, such as Dublin.

**11. Setting of Future Meeting Dates:**

**a. Suggested Dates**

May 17, 2016 – 6:00 pm

June 1, 2016 – 6:00 pm

June 16, 2016 – 6:00 pm

**b. Target Board Report: By 6/30/16**

**12. Matters Introduced by the Committee:** None

**13. Next Meeting Agenda:**

- Informational Data
- Arundel Property
- Heather Property
- District Office Property

**14. Adjournment:** Committee Chair, Tom Quiggle adjourned the meeting at 8:30 pm.

**SURPLUS PROPERTY (7-11) COMMITTEE MEETING  
Minutes**

**Date:** May 17, 2016  
**Time:** 6:00 p.m.  
**Place:** San Carlos School District Office, 1200 Industrial Road, Unit 9, Board Room

**Members/Attendees:** Tom Quiggle, Michele Francesconi, Murat Sumbal, Mike Field, Rob Werner, Hazel Stabinsky, Karen Clapper, Robert Porter

**Absent:** Allison Liner

**1. Call to Order:** Meeting called to order by Tom Quiggle at 6:03 p.m.

**2. Public Comments:** None

**3. Review of Requested Data - Robert Porter:**

**a. Past considerations of site expansion at Heather and Arundel School**

Robert Porter informed the committee that the Heather site was considered for Charter Learning Center. It was a viable location for a 400 student site. However, in the end the Board wanted Charter Learning Center to stay at its current location and not build a new school at Heather. Hazel Stabinsky asked why the Board abandoned the Heather location. Robert Porter said it was a Board decision and Charter Learning Center didn't want to leave their current location. Tom Quiggle shared Edison Montessori space was too valuable to lease and it would be better suited for Charter Learning Center.

Robert Porter shared Arundel was a consideration for a campus of 600 students, but it wasn't viable because the need was for 800 students, for both Charter Learning Center and Arundel. One consideration was to put the school on the tennis courts, with access on Wellington Drive. This idea was too costly and it felt like it was too close to the existing elementary school. This option was the least desired by the Board.

Mike Field asked if Heather could be an option for future expansion needs. Robert Porter confirmed that Heather would work and so would Arundel. Murat Sumbal asked if a District Office could be built at one of the school sites. Robert Porter said it could be a consideration. Hazel Stabinsky asked if the Maintenance Department would be moving from Tierra Linda. Robert Porter said for now they are staying at Tierra Linda, but they may need to move in the future.

**b. Historic Student Enrollment:**

Robert Porter shared historical and projected enrollment with the committee. In 2015, total enrollment was 3520. Projected enrollment in 2019 is 3645.

**c. Out-of-District Enrollment:**

Robert Porter mentioned there has been a steady decline of enrollment in out of district charter students. Out of District enrollment are made up of, Tinsley students, children of District employees and out of district charter students and their siblings.

**d. Built-out capacity of 4,000** –Robert Porter and the committee discussed the probability of enrollment exceeding 4000.

**d.1 Receipt of Sample 7-11 Committee Reports**

Robert Porter handed out the samples of 7-11 Surplus Property reports from other districts. He especially liked the Dry Creek School District sample.

**e. Questions raised at 5-5-16 Meeting:**

- 1) Does District Need Identified Space?
- 2) Are there school sites with usable space for expansion?
- 3) Does City have any interest in the open space? RP stated if the district so choose, to surplus, the city will have first option, first right of refusal.
- 4) Must the District accept offers for the city of county?

**4. Requested Data For Next Meeting – Tom Quiggle, Committee Chair**

**5. Committee Discussion Regarding Possible Surplus Properties**

Discussion ensued regarding possible surplus properties.

Heather: Committee felt this site would never be used for school use and could be deemed surplus.

Arundel: Committee felt you could expand the Arundel by adding onto or building up and the tennis courts wouldn't be a good space for a school. The committee was uncertain if this property could be deemed surplus because the community uses the tennis courts for Tennis Camps and Tennis Clubs. The committee asked for more information regarding frequency of use on the tennis courts.

District office: Committee didn't feel this was a suitable option for surplus and that it serves its purpose well. Murat didn't see any financial gain by selling this property.

**6. Next Meeting Agenda**

Public Hearing June 1<sup>st</sup> 6pm  
Use of Frequency of Tennis Courts

**7. Adjournment** – Committee Chair Tom Quiggle adjourned the meeting at 7:22 pm.

**SURPLUS PROPERTY (7-11) COMMITTEE MEETING  
Minutes**

Date: Wednesday, June 1, 2016  
Time: 6:00 p.m.  
Place: District Office, 1200 Industrial Road, Unit 9, Board Room

Members/Attendees: Tom Quiggle, Michele Francesconi, Allison Liner, Murat Sumbal, Karen Clapper, Rob Werner, Harold Freiman and Robert Porter

Absent: Michael Field and Hazel Stabinsky

1. CALL TO ORDER:  
Committee Chair, Tom Quiggle called the meeting to order at 6:02 p.m.
2. PUBLIC HEARING:  
Hearing of community input to the 7-11 Committee on acceptable uses of space and real property.
3. PUBLIC COMMENTS:  
Members of the community made public comment to the 7-11 Committee Members as follows:

*Charles Gould (Arundel neighbor) was concerned with the possibility of the Arundel site being sold to a developer for housing and the negative impact that would have on traffic and noise. He wouldn't want the area to lose its community, hometown feel. He'd like to keep it as, to be used as recreation for families.*

*John Ravella (resident) wondered why the district would be interested in selling off land, when the community has been told there is lack of space in schools. He has voted in favor of all school bonds. He said if money is needed, the district should ask the community for more. He would like more information on why the district needs to surplus property.*

*John Farcich (tennis club member) wanted the 7-11 Committee Members to know the tennis courts at Arundel are being used by the Tennis Club for adults and children. Many San Carlos residents use the courts. He finds these courts are a way to bring the community together.*

*Winona Budrick (Heather neighbor) had concerns around traffic, noise and the depreciation of her property if the Heather site was deemed surplus.*

*John Budrick (Heather neighbor) wondered where the access point to the Heather site would be. He would like to see it remain as open space for the wildlife in the area. He had concerns with noise level and the devaluation of the property on Porta Rosa Way if the property was developed.*

*Elena Delaplaine (tennis club member) mentioned that over 500 members use the tennis courts at Arundel. The Tennis Club hopes to expand and develop so that more members may join.*

*John Lilygren (Heather neighbor on Hewitt Drive) wanted to know why these properties are being considered surplus. He would like more information.*

*Margaret Warren (Heather neighbor on Hewitt Drive) shared her concerns with the city over developing properties. She is concerned with what will happen when the population growth starts to impact the schools and the district has sold its surplus property. She is frustrated with the lack of ability to understand exactly what property is being considered for surplus.*

*Andrew Taylor (resident), wanted to know why the district office is being considered surplus, when it was just purchase a couple of years ago with Bond funds. He doesn't want to see the building sold and he wouldn't want to see proceeds of the sale put into the general fund.*

*Dawn Sakurai (resident) had concerns with selling off property when she feels more students will be coming to the district in the future. She mentioned not having enough information available prior to the public hearing on why these properties are being considered for surplus.*

*Committee Chair Tom Quiggle explained the charge of the committee with the members of the public.*

*Attorney Harold Freimen explained the procedures and protocols of the committee with the members of the public.*

*Chief Operations Officer Robert Porter mentioned the request to form a 7-11 Surplus Property Committee came from the School Board. The committee will prepare a report for the Board on possible uses for the properties and whether or not they should be considered surplus.*

*David Gonzales (resident) had concerns over the impact of disposing of the tennis courts and what would happen to the children who use the tennis courts.*

*Cindy Hall (parent) had concern over the possibility of the tennis courts being surplus. She mentioned there aren't enough tennis courts available for the community. There are very few tennis courts on the Peninsula. She would not want to lose this recreational vehicle, as there are not enough. The community needs recreational facilities, such as these tennis courts.*

*Norman Licht (resident) had concerns about the process of the surplus property evaluation. He felt the committee's process seemed up side down. He felt more information was needed before asking the community for input.*

*Susan Gibson (tennis club member) wanted the committee to know that hundreds of people use these tennis courts.*

*Dan Dempsey (Arundel parent) wanted the committee to consider the future needs of the District as student enrollment increases, due to city's increase in development. He doesn't want the District to get rid of property, when the future enrollment is unknown. He would like the committee to consider keeping the property for flexibility of use.*

*Kendall Kaufmann (resident on Wellington Drive) was concerned with the lack of wooded open space in the community. She wouldn't want to see this open space developed because it's where the youth go for enjoyment. She pleaded to preserve the parks in San Carlos.*

*John Geer (former teacher, resident, tennis club member) was concerned with what would happen to the Tennis Club if these tennis courts were no longer available. Many elders use these tennis courts. There is a lack of tennis courts on the peninsula. He felt the least value to the community would be a housing development. He wants to see kids playing tennis. John thanked the School District for working with the tennis club and mentioned the District has been great to work with. He wanted the committee to know that these courts are used all day, every day, by the tennis club. He asked the committee to please not give this property away.*

*Anjena Kacholiya (parent of kids that play tennis on courts) shared that tennis is a sport the whole family can play together. These courts are the only location that has an after school tennis program. It's the only location that has blue lines for children 8 under and 10 under. She said the Arundel tennis courts are used all the time – all summer long, for kids all over the peninsula. Tennis camps are filled to capacity. The kids love the tennis clinics and they are inspired to try out for the tennis team at Central. Her entire family plays tennis –it's a great sport for all generations. Grandfathers and grandchildren play together. Kids make friends playing tennis – playing tennis builds social skills.*

*John Geer mentioned knowledge of a previous appraisal of the tennis courts, which he said was a speculation, valued at 9 million. He said that value is not accurate and felt in order to find the true value of these courts a certified appraiser would need to be hired. He mentioned that this property was offered to the tennis club two years ago at a very inflated price. With regard to the surplus property, John would like to know what the specific direction from the Board was.*

*Charles Gould requested copies of the maps which were given to committee members at previous meetings.*

Committee Chair Tom Quiggle closed the public hearing.

Robert Porter offered his email address to the public for anyone else wishing to send in additional comments.

4. REVIEW OF REQUESTED DATA:

a. Use of frequency of the tennis courts at Arundel School

Robert Porter shared that three primary groups use the tennis courts.

- After School Sports – 5<sup>th</sup> Grade Tennis
  - March 31 – June 3<sup>rd</sup> - Wednesday 1:30 to 3:30, about 15 students
- Silicon Valley Tennis Camp TK -8<sup>th</sup> Grade – Silicon Valley Tennis Academy
  - June 13<sup>th</sup> – August 19<sup>th</sup>, 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.
- San Carlos Tennis Club
  - M-F used almost every evening weather permitting
  - Weekends used 8:00 a.m. – 11:00 a.m. and 1:00 p.m. – 9:30 p.m.

Robert mentioned between San Carlos Tennis Club, Summer Tennis Camp and After School Sports, courts are close to full usage in the evenings during the school year, extensively during weekends, and all day and evening during summers. The public can also play on these courts when not in use by the groups. Rob Werner stated he appreciated knowing this frequency.

5. COMMITTEE DISCUSSION REGARDING POSSIBLE SURPLUS PROPERTIES:

Tom Quiggle shared the historical and projected enrollment handout he prepared with the committee members. He wanted to the committee to see the potential enrollment. Karen Clapper thanked Tom for the information. Rob Werner asked for clarification on the enrollment data, as to what numbers the District uses. Robert Porter explained there are conservative and moderate models. The district uses the moderate model when looking at enrollment numbers related to facilities and long term impact.

6. DISCUSSION OF PRELIMINARY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

District Office: The committee felt it wouldn't make sense financially to sell or lease this property until an affordable replacement was available. They felt the current use of the District Office best meets all needs of district staff. Consensus of the committee was to not surplus this property.

Heather School: Michele Francesconi would like more data on the wildlife in this space. Rob Werner felt personally, he wouldn't like to see the space developed, but felt this space isn't necessarily needed by the school district. Murat would like to see this property developed for affordable housing for teachers. He would love to keep it as is, but feels this could be surplus property. Karen

Clapper would like to explore other uses for this property – maybe a shared used. She would not deem this property surplus. Allison Liner thought this property could fit the criteria of surplus. However, she felt the impact on the community, school and families should be a consideration. Murat Sumbal mentioned that even if the committee deemed this property surplus, it would be up to the board on what it would be used for. Rob Werner asked Robert Porter for clarity on the total acreage of Heather campus. Robert Porter thought it was roughly 14 acres. Tom Quiggle stated he doesn't foresee developing this property for instructional use in the future. He too would like to see it developed for teacher housing, although it increases traffic. Tom Quiggle summarized for the committee that the most acceptable use for this property would be to leave as open space, but if not for that, then perhaps it could be surplus.

Arundel: Michele Francesconi didn't think this space could be used for building schools or for instructional use during the school day. She felt it should not be considered surplus. Michele mentioned that she wouldn't want to see the students have to find another location to play their sport. Allison Liner would not want to see this property as surplus since it is used by so many of our students. Rob Werner felt this space is used by the community and he wouldn't want to see it as surplus property. Murat Sumbal felt that after hearing from the community, and learning it is used by many students and the community he would like to keep it as is and not as surplus property. Karen Clapper felt she hadn't seen better options than its current use and therefore would like to keep the property as is. Tom Quiggle mentioned this property isn't currently being used for school use, however he felt that it may be needed if enrollment grows in the future. Tom understood the value to the community on the use of the tennis courts. Tom would not want to surplus this property. He would like to preserve the long term capacity for the potential of future growth.

7. APPOINTMENT OF SUBCOMMITTEE TO DRAFT 7-11 COMMITTEE REPORT:  
Michele Francesconi and Tom Quiggle volunteered to be on the sub-committee to work on writing the draft report.
8. REQUESTED DATA FOR NEXT MEETING:  
Notes from tonight's meeting.
9. NEXT MEETING AGENDA:  
Review draft of the report including public comment. Harold suggested the report include a committee vote by property. Rob Werner asked what information may be made available to the public. Tom Quiggle requested the information from tonight's meeting be made available to the public. Robert Porter confirmed that could be done.

Next meeting date - June 16, 2016, 6:00 p.m.

10. ADJOURNMENT: Committee Chair Tom Quiggle adjourned the meeting at 7:45 pm.



Code	Description	Area	Features
1	ARUNDEL SCHOOL	12,458 SQ FT	1.1 MOBILE
2	ARUNDEL SCHOOL	12,458 SQ FT	1.1 MOBILE
3	ARUNDEL SCHOOL	12,458 SQ FT	1.1 MOBILE
4	ARUNDEL SCHOOL	12,458 SQ FT	1.1 MOBILE
5	ARUNDEL SCHOOL	12,458 SQ FT	1.1 MOBILE

**BOUNDARY EXHIBIT**  
**ARUNDEL SCHOOL**

TYLER SAN ANTONIO SAN MATEO COUNTY CALIFORNIA

**BKF**  
 ENGINEERS / SURVEYORS / PLANNERS

255 SHORELINE DR  
 SUITE 200  
 REDWOOD CITY CA 94065  
 650-482-6700  
 650-482-6700 FAX

DATE: 07/13/2010



# Enrollment Projections for Surplus Property Committee

San Carlos School District  
Report=DistrictPostTransferTransK

San Carlos School District (SnCrIs16Mod) (DU Scn 2016)

Grade	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
TransK	16	44	55	70	66	66	67	68	67	66	66	65	65	64
K	314	319	315	316	323	324	331	333	330	327	324	321	318	316
1	345	340	326	314	328	336	338	342	343	340	336	333	330	327
2	367	343	348	331	318	335	344	344	344	345	341	338	335	332
3	373	356	336	356	331	320	338	344	343	345	345	343	340	337
4	306	373	358	341	362	339	330	344	347	349	351	352	350	347
5	327	303	369	349	338	362	339	328	344	350	353	355	355	353
6	281	319	313	373	359	352	375	363	343	360	366	368	366	367
7	285	283	328	310	375	364	358	379	354	346	363	369	368	365
8	313	282	281	337	313	382	373	364	381	357	349	365	371	371
Subtotals:	2927	2962	3029	3097	3113	3180	3193	3199	3196	3185	3194	3209	3198	3179
Pct Chg:		1.2%	2.3%	2.2%	0.5%	2.2%	0.4%	0.2%	-0.1%	-0.3%	0.3%	0.5%	-0.3%	-0.6%
SDC:	72	65	69	61	55	49	50	50	49	49	49	49	49	49
Totals:	2999	3027	3098	3158	3168	3229	3243	3249	3245	3234	3243	3258	3247	3228
Capacity:	3100	3100	3100	3100	3400	3600	3600	3600	3600	3600	3600	3600	3600	3600
Open Seats:	101	73	2	-58	232	371	357	351	356	366	357	342	353	372

Projection Engine Ran on 2/17/2016

Charter Learning Center

Enrollment	331	349	353	362	368	373	384	396	396	396	396	396	396	396
Capacity					400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400
Open Seats	-230	-276	-351	-420	-136	27	16	4	4	4	4	4	4	4

TOTAL

Enrollment	3330	3376	3451	3520	3536	3602	3627	3646	3641	3638	3639	3664	3643	3624
Capacity					3100	3400	4000	4000	4000	4000	4000	4000	4000	4000
Open Seats	-230	-276	-351	-420	-136	368	373	355	368	370	361	346	357	376