

# Valencia Park Elementary

## California Department of Education School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fq/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the [CDE DataQuest Web page](#) that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.



### Cindy Bak, Principal

Principal, Valencia Park Elementary

#### About Our School

At Valencia Park School, we are committed to providing a relevant and engaging learning experience in a positive environment. Through various programs, our hope is that students will discover their strengths and passions, find their purpose and pathway, and reach their full and unique potential. We believe that as students develop confidence to take risks, learn from their mistakes, and strive to be their personal best, they will feel empowered to make a difference in their school and community.

Our 1:1 iPad program in Kindergarten through 6th grade contributes to a relevant and engaging learning experience for our students. Our goal is to integrate technology in a way that facilitates high levels of engagement, critical thinking, perseverance, creative problem solving, communication and collaboration. In 3rd-6th grade, students have extended learning opportunities by taking their iPads home daily.

Valencia Park has a rich history and track record of innovation and academic excellence. Our school has received numerous accolades including the Gold Ribbon Award, Title I Academic Achievement Award, Apple Distinguished School, Golden Bell Award, Common Sense Media Digital Citizenship Certification, California Business for Education Excellence Honor Roll School, and a Cotsen Art of Teaching School. As we continue our journey in providing a world class education to our students, we believe in continuous improvement and innovation through collaborative data analysis and ongoing professional development.

#### Contact

Valencia Park Elementary  
3441 West Valencia Dr.  
Fullerton, CA 92833-3131

Phone: 714-447-7755  
E-mail: [cindy\\_bak@myfsd.org](mailto:cindy_bak@myfsd.org)

## About This School

### Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

District Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)	
<b>District Name</b>	Fullerton Elementary
<b>Phone Number</b>	(714) 447-7400
<b>Superintendent</b>	Bob Pletka
<b>E-mail Address</b>	<a href="mailto:bob_pletka@myfsd.org">bob_pletka@myfsd.org</a>
<b>Web Site</b>	<a href="http://www.fullertonsd.org/">www.fullertonsd.org/</a>

School Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)	
<b>School Name</b>	Valencia Park Elementary
<b>Street</b>	3441 West Valencia Dr.
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	Fullerton, Ca, 92833-3131
<b>Phone Number</b>	714-447-7755
<b>Principal</b>	Cindy Bak, Principal
<b>E-mail Address</b>	<a href="mailto:cindy_bak@myfsd.org">cindy_bak@myfsd.org</a>
<b>Web Site</b>	<a href="http://www.fullertonsd.org/valencia/">www.fullertonsd.org/valencia/</a>
<b>County-District-School (CDS) Code</b>	30665066028179

*Last updated: 1/3/2018*

### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)


**Mission:** The Valencia Park community of teachers, staff, and parents are committed to providing all students with a 21st century learning experience in an individualized learning setting where engaged students think critically, work collaboratively, and problem solve in a caring environment.

**Vision:** The Valencia Park staff is committed to providing all students a quality and challenging learning experience in an individualized learning setting.

*Last updated: 1/3/2018*

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	84
Grade 1	83
Grade 2	82
Grade 3	83
Grade 4	94
Grade 5	96
Grade 6	98
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>620</b>



Last updated: 1/3/2018

### Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.1 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0 %
Asian	1.6 %
Filipino	1.5 %
Hispanic or Latino	92.1 %
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0 %
White	2.9 %
Two or More Races	0.8 %
Other	0.0 %
Student Group (Other)	Percent of Total Enrollment
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	88.7 %
English Learners	60.8 %
Students with Disabilities	7.3 %
Foster Youth	0.2 %

Last updated: 1/3/2018

## A. Conditions of Learning


### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

#### Teacher Credentials


Teachers	School			District
	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18
With Full Credential	25	25	24	533
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0



Last updated: 1/27/2018

#### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Last updated: 1/27/2018

**Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)**

Year and month in which the data were collected: September 2017

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption</b>	<b>From Most Recent Adoption?</b>	<b>Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy</b>
Reading/Language Arts	2010-11 Houghton Mifflin Medallions K-5 2015-16 McGraw-Hill 6-8	Yes	0.0 %
Mathematics	2014-15 Houghton Mifflin Go Math K-8 2014-15 McGraw Hill Geometry 8	Yes	0.0 %
Science	2007-08 MacMillan/McGraw-Hill K-5 2007-08 Holt McDougal 6-8	Yes	0.0 %
History-Social Science	2006-07 Houghton Mifflin K-5 2006-07 McDougal Littell 6-8	Yes	0.0 %
Foreign Language	2001-02 En Español 7-8	Yes	0.0 %
Health	On-line Health Curriculum Dairy Council of California (grade appropriate materials) Too Good for Drugs (grades 4-6) 2004-05 Holt McDougal Decisions for Health 7	Yes	0.0 %
Visual and Performing Arts	"All the Arts for all the Kids" lessons/curriculum in visual art, music, dance, and theater Instrumental music (grades 5-6) Band and string instruments and musical scores (grades 7-8)	Yes	0.0 %
Science Lab Eqpmt (Grades 9-12)	N/A	N/A	0.0 %

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/31/2018

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

The District takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. Listed below is more specific information on the condition of the school and the efforts made to ensure that students are provided with a clean, safe, and functional learning environment. Acacia Elementary School has 21 classrooms, a library media center, a computer lab and a multi-purpose room. The main campus was built in 1957. Additions were constructed in 1960 and 1965. Two portable classrooms were added in 1988 and two more were added in 1997 and another in 2013. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The District has established cleaning standards for all schools in the district. A summary of these standards is available at the district maintenance office. The Maintenance and Operations staff works with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school. The District participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior and exterior painting, and floor systems. During 2016-17 the District spent \$435,136 on Deferred Maintenance. For the 2017-18 school year the District budgeted \$320,013 for Deferred Maintenance expenditures.

*Last updated: 1/30/2018*

## School Facility Good Repair Status

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 2017

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	Girl's restroom loose sink - W/O 146909.
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	Rotten plywood deck room 29 - W/O 146912.
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

## Overall Facility Rate

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: December 2017

Overall Rating	Good
----------------	------

*Last updated: 1/31/2018*

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

#### CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students

##### Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Subject	Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards					
	School		District		State	
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17
English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	42%	38%	56%	55%	48%	48%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	38%	36%	50%	49%	36%	37%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

*Last updated: 1/3/2018*

**CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group****Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)**

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>Number Tested</b>	<b>Percent Tested</b>	<b>Percent Met or Exceeded</b>
All Students	380	376	98.95%	38.30%
Male	204	202	99.02%	35.15%
Female	176	174	98.86%	41.95%
Black or African American	--	--	--	
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	--	--	--	
Filipino	--	--	--	
Hispanic or Latino	348	346	99.43%	37.86%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	14	13	92.86%	30.77%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	352	349	99.15%	37.25%
English Learners	257	255	99.22%	36.86%
Students with Disabilities	31	31	100.00%	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3--Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

*Last updated: 1/3/2018*



**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group****Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)**

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>Number Tested</b>	<b>Percent Tested</b>	<b>Percent Met or Exceeded</b>
All Students	380	379	99.74%	36.15%
Male	204	203	99.51%	38.42%
Female	176	176	100.00%	33.52%
Black or African American	--	--	--	
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	--	--	--	
Filipino	--	--	--	
Hispanic or Latino	348	348	100.00%	36.49%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	14	13	92.86%	15.38%
Two or More Races	--	--	--	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	352	351	99.72%	35.61%
English Learners	257	257	100.00%	36.96%
Students with Disabilities	31	31	100.00%	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3--Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

*Last updated: 1/3/2018*

**CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students****Grades Five, Eight and Ten**

Subject	Percentage of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	42.0%	40.0%	71.0%	68.0%	56%	54%

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

*Last updated: 1/3/2018*

**Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2016-17)**

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of Pupils Participating in CTE	
Percent of Pupils Completing a CTE Program and Earning a High School Diploma	--
Percent of CTE Courses Sequenced or Articulated Between the School and Institutions of Postsecondary Education	--

*Last updated: 1/3/2018*

**Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission**

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2016-17 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0.0%
2015-16 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0.0%

# State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Percentage of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Fitness Standards	Five of Six Fitness Standards	Six of Six Fitness Standards

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/3/2018

## C. Engagement

# State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

At Valencia Park, we believe a strong partnership between the school and home is crucial to the success of our students. We are committed to empowering our parents to take an active role in their child's education by offering a variety opportunities to increase parent engagement and participation. The following is a list of services, activities, and events that take place at Valencia Park throughout the school year:

#### Welcoming School Environment

- Administrator visibility in the school
- Friendly greeting of parents and families
- OC Grip Morning Greeters Program
- Safe Schools Plan

#### Opportunities for Parent Involvement and Advocacy

- Monthly PTA meetings
- Active participation in ELAC and DELAC
- Approval and monitoring of school plan through School Site Council
- Promotion and support of the Parents Assisting Teachers (PAT) Club
- Full time Social Services Assistant to provide support services to parents and the school community

#### Variety of Parent Education Workshops (Childcare Provided)

- OC Grip Parents Supporting Parents Workshops
- 40 Developmental Assets Parenting Classes
- English Language Classes
- Parent Technology Workshops
- School Smarts Parent Engagement Program
- Nutrition Classes through Healthy Communities Initiative

#### Home School Communication:

- Updated school website and use of social media to promote student learning
- Weekly ConnectEd messages with upcoming events
- Weekly communication folders with information provided in Spanish and English
- Translators provided for parent conferences, parent workshops

After School Programs and Extended Learning Opportunities:

- United Kids Program
- ASES After School Program
- LindaMood-Bell and SIPPS Lab for reading intervention
- 1:1 iPad take-home program in grades 3rd-6th grade

Family Resources

- School Pantry Program to promote nutrition and healthy eating

## State Priority: Pupil Engagement

Last updated: 1/3/2018


The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates

### Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Dropout Rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.5%	10.7%	9.7%
Graduation Rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	--	--	--	81.0%	82.3%	83.8%

Dropout/Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate) Chart



Last updated: 1/3/2018

**Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2016****(One-Year Rate)**

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>State</b>
All Students	--	--	87.1%
Black or African American	--	--	79.2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	80.2%
Asian	--	--	94.4%
Filipino	--	--	93.8%
Hispanic or Latino	--	--	84.6%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	86.6%
White	--	--	91.0%
Two or More Races	--	--	90.6%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--	--	85.5%
English Learners	--	--	55.4%
Students with Disabilities	--	--	63.9%
Foster Youth	--	--	--



# State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

## Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	0.6%	1.1%	1.2%	1.5%	1.6%	1.5%	3.8%	3.7%	3.7%
Expulsions	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%



Last updated: 1/3/2018

## School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

A comprehensive school safety plan was developed and approved prior to March 1, 2000 in compliance with Education Code Sections 33126, 35256, and 32286 as well as applicable District policies and regulations. Each year, prior to March 1, the school's safety plan is reviewed, updated and discussed with staff and School Site Council. Annually, the school's staff reports on the status of its safety plan with the school leadership team, PTA and School Site Council. Status reports and updates are reviewed at the beginning of each school year and again in December before the finished School Safety Plan is approved by the members of the School Site Council on March 1. The key elements of the plan include: a) providing a safe teaching and learning environment for all students and staff members, b) ensuring that all students are safe and secure while at school sponsored activities, c) making district programs and community resources available to students and parents, and d) creating a school where students, programs, and community members interact in an atmosphere of mutual respect that enhances the environment for learning. Each year the school submits along with the safety plan a detailed "action plan" which addresses the identified areas the staff and School Site Council has brought forward as critical areas of concern or how they should improve. A copy of the plan is available at all times in the school office and will provide a more detailed description of each element of the plan including specific actions, resources and time lines to be implemented by the school. Copies are also available at the District Office in the office of Child Welfare and Attendance.

Approved and reviewed with staff: 1/8/2018

Last updated: 1/3/2018

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2014-15			2015-16			2016-17					
	Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *			Average Class Size	Number of Classes *		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	20.0	3	1	0	19.0	4	0	0	21.0	1	3	0
1	20.0	4	0	0	21.0	1	3	0	28.0	0	3	0
2	20.0	5	0	0	28.0	0	3	0	27.0	0	3	0
3	20.0	5	0	0	32.0	0	3	0	28.0	0	3	0
4	24.0	0	4	0	34.0	0	0	3	31.0	0	3	0
5	25.0	0	5	0	25.0	1	0	3	32.0	0	2	1
6	22.0	0	4	0	27.0	0	4	0	33.0	0	1	2
Other	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Last updated: 1/3/2018

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0.0	0.0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.3	N/A
Psychologist	0.4	N/A
Social Worker	0.0	N/A
Nurse	0.2	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.0	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	2.4	N/A
Other	0.0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

\*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Last updated: 1/27/2018

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$5751.0	\$521.0	\$5230.0	\$87355.0
District	N/A	N/A	\$4804.0	\$87006.0
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	8.9%	0.4%
State	N/A	N/A	\$6574.0	\$78363.0
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-20.4%	11.5%



Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*Last updated: 1/31/2018*

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)



- Teacher Induction
- Gifted and Talented Education
- Instructional Materials and Support Training
- Instructional Technology
- Peer Assistance and Review (PAR)
- School Safety and Violence Prevention
- Special Education
- Title I, Economically Disadvantaged
- Title II, Teacher and Principal Quality
- Title III, Education for English Learners

Last updated: 1/29/2018

### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$50,944	\$48,678
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$86,669	\$78,254
Highest Teacher Salary	\$109,712	\$96,372
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$128,312	\$122,364
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$131,918	\$125,958
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$	\$126,758
Superintendent Salary	\$262,600	\$212,818
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39.0%	38.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6.0%	5.0%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.



Last updated: 1/3/2018

**Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2016-17)**

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	N/A
English	0	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts	0	N/A
Foreign Language	0	N/A
Mathematics	0	N/A
Science	0	N/A
Social Science	0	N/A
All Courses	0	0.0%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

\*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Last updated: 1/3/2018

**Professional Development**

2015-2016

Primary Focus

K-8 Intervention Programs  
K-8 English Language Development  
K-8 Writing Strategies  
K-8 Language Arts Strategies  
K-8 Mathematics Strategies

Delivery of Professional Development

Staff Development Day  
Workshops  
Conferences  
After- school Workshops  
In-class Coaching

Other Related Professional Development Activities

Data-Driven instruction  
Differentiated Instruction  
Technology Integration  
Marzano Instructional Strategies  
Systematic ELD  
Response to Intervention  
Thinking Maps  
Writer's Workshop  
Cognitively Guided Instruction

2016-2017

Primary Focus

K-8 Intervention Programs  
K-8 English Language Development  
K-8 Writing Strategies  
K-8 Language Arts Strategies  
K-8 Mathematics Strategies

Delivery of Professional Development

Staff Development Day  
Workshops  
Conferences  
After- school Workshops  
In-class Coaching

Other Related Professional Development Activities

Data-Driven instruction  
Differentiated Instruction  
Technology Integration  
Marzano Instructional Strategies  
Systematic ELD  
Response to Intervention  
Thinking Maps  
Writer's Workshop

2017-2018

Primary Focus  
K-8 Intervention Programs  
K-8 English Language Development  
K-8 Writing Strategies  
K-8 Language Arts Strategies  
K-8 Mathematics Strategies  
K-8 Technology Integration

Delivery of Professional Development  
Staff Development Day  
Workshops  
Conferences  
After- school Workshops  
In-class Coaching

Other Related Professional Development Activities  
Data-Driven instruction  
Technology Integration  
Marzano Instructional Strategies  
Systematic ELD  
Response to Intervention  
Thinking Maps  
Writer's Workshop  
Cognitively Guided Instruction  
Balanced Literacy

*Last updated: 1/27/2018*