

Laneville ISD (201903001)

Community and Student Engagement

House Bill 5 (HB 5) includes a local evaluation requirement that is frequently referred to as the *Community Engagement* component. The Community Engagement requirement is an opportunity for districts to showcase areas of excellence and success as well as recognize areas in need of improvement and set future goals valued in the community.

The statute requires each district to evaluate and designate a performance rating for the district and each of its campuses in the district based on nine factors (TEC 39.0545). The law requires that each district and each campus be assigned a rating of exemplary, recognized, acceptable, or unacceptable. The district and campus performance ratings must be reported annually to TEA through PEIMS, and made publicly available by August 8 of each year beginning with the 2016-2017 school year. Note: TEA will only require the district and campus ratings to be submitted in PEIMS, and no additional documentation is currently required to be submitted.

	Exemplary 5+	Recognized 3-4	Acceptable 1-2	Unacceptable 0
Fine Arts (7 Data Sources)	_____	_____	_____	_____
Wellness and Physical Education (14 Data Sources)	Exemplary 10+ _____	Recognized 6-9 _____	Acceptable 3-5 _____	Unacceptable 0 _____
Community & Parent Involvement (23 Data Sources)	Exemplary 17+ _____	Recognized 12-16 _____	Acceptable 7-11 _____	Unacceptable 0-6 _____
21st Century Workforce Development (10 Data Sources)	Exemplary 6+ _____	Recognized 3-5 _____	Acceptable 1-2 _____	Unacceptable 0 _____
2nd Language Acquisition Programs (7 Data Sources)	Exemplary 4+ _____	Recognized 2-3 _____	Acceptable 1 _____	Unacceptable 0 _____

Digital Learning Environment (13 Data Sources)	Exemplary 8+ _____	Recognized 5-7 _____	Acceptable 3-4 _____	Unacceptable 0-2 _____
Dropout Prevention Strategies (12 Data Sources)	Exemplary 8+ _____	Recognized 5-7 _____	Acceptable 3-4 _____	Unacceptable 0-2 _____
Gifted/Talented Programs (7 Data Sources)	Exemplary 5+ _____	Recognized 3-4 _____	Acceptable 1-2 _____	Unacceptable 0 _____
History of Compliance (22 Data Sources)	Exemplary 17+ _____	Recognized 12-16 _____	Acceptable 7-11 _____	Unacceptable 0-6 _____

Composite Rating: Exemplary