



Quailwood Elementary School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2009-10

Published During 2010-11

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the California Department of Education (CDE) [SARC](#) Web page. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides the schools contact information.

School		District	
School Name	Quailwood Elementary	District Name	Fruitvale Elementary
Street	7301 Remington Ave.	Phone Number	661-589-3830
City, State, Zip	Bakersfield , CA 93309-1224	Web Site	www.fruitvale.k12.ca.us/district
Phone Number	661-832-6415	Superintendent	Dr. Carl Olsen
Principal	Steve Duke	E-mail Address	caolsen@fruitvale.k12.ca.us
E-mail Address	stduke@fruitvale.k12.ca.us	CDS Code	15- 63479- 6101588

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2009-10)

Quailwood has been recognized in 1987, 1992, 1995 and 2000 by the California Department of Education's Distinguished School Program. In 1992, Quailwood was named a National Blue Ribbon School by the U.S. Department of Education. The school's strong instructional leadership team, parent involvement and the quality of its programs were cited by State and National review teams.

Quailwood students have twice competed as California State Champions in the National History Day finals, taking second place in Group Media in 1997.

The school enjoys strong parent and community support. The Quailwood Parent Club, School Site Council, and Principal's Advisory meet regularly to plan, discuss site issues and implement projects beneficial to the school. There is extensive use of community resources in support of the educational program.

In the pursuit of the Fruitvale District's fundamental goal of striving for excellence in education, Quailwood School's mission will be to maintain an environment where each of the following goals are achieved:

- Students will be continuously encouraged, motivated, and respected for their individual differences.
- Students will be provided with a wide range of academic and non-academic experiences to enhance their learning.
- Teachers and administrators will continue to cooperate with parents to ensure students achieve not only academically but also develop personal values of self esteem, honesty and responsibility.

Teachers and administrators will continue their commitment to innovative programs, parent education, staff development, and shared decision making.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2009-10)

The Governing Board recognizes that parents/guardians are their children's first and most influential teachers and that continued parental involvement in the education of children contributes greatly to student achievement and a positive school environment.

Parents support their children's academic efforts and their development as responsible members of society by helping their students at home with their school work, attending conferences and providing a caring environment.

Fruitvale encourages parents/guardians to serve as volunteers in the schools, attend student performances and school meetings, and participate in site councils, advisory councils and other activities in which they may undertake governance, advisory and advocacy roles.

Parent Clubs at each school are currently active in providing many activities for Fruitvale School District students. They work together to assist in school programs and community projects. Please contact the school for specific information and opportunities for parent involvement.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	74
Grade 1	46
Grade 2	44
Grade 3	49
Grade 4	32
Grade 5	54
Grade 6	56
Total Enrollment	355

***The data in this table are not available to the CDE due to delays in receiving CBEDS information. Therefore, these data must be provided by the LEA.*

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
African American	8 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	1 %
Asian	1 %
Filipino	1 %
Hispanic or Latino	39 %
Pacific Islander	0 %
White (not Hispanic)	49 %
Multiple or No Response	1 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	41 %
English Learners	2 %
Students with Disabilities	7 %

***The data in this table are not available to the CDE due to delays in receiving CBEDS information. Therefore, these data must be provided by the LEA.*

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

This table displays by grade level the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Grade Level	2007-08			2008-09			2009-10					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	19.5	2			20.0	2			21		3	
1	20.0	2			20.0	1			19.5	2		
2	18.0	2			20.0	2			22		2	
3	18.0	3			20.0	1			24.5		2	
4	31.0		1		28.5		2		32		1	
5	31.0		1		32.0		1		27		2	
6	28.0		2		31.0		1		28		2	
K-3	17.0	1			20.0	3			21.3			
3-4									27			
4-8	31.0		1		31.0		1		28.4			

***The data in this table are not available to the CDE due to delays in receiving CBEDS information. Therefore, these data must be provided by the LEA.*

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

The School Safety Plan is revised yearly taking into account staff changes as well as content revisions. The Emergency Preparedness portion of the plan is modeled after State Standardized Emergency Management System.

The plan is dedicated to the ongoing enhancement of all aspects of school safety including:

- Maintenance of safe and orderly learning environment by maintaining the buildings and grounds to a high standard.
- Student transition to and from school with instructions on how to cross streets safely and a crossing guard posted by the school. Along with this there is a Transportation Safety Plan that includes instructions on how to board and exit the bus safely, how the red cross-over lights are used and annual evacuation exercises.
- Student behavior and discipline is outlined in the plan and is given to the parents and students via the Student/Parent handbook at the beginning of the school year.
- Disaster preparedness is accomplished by training the staff to respond to natural disasters or evacuations due to potential hazards. Many of the staff are trained in first aid and CPR procedures along with triage, search and rescue and student security in the event of an emergency.
- Playground and classroom facilities and equipment are inspected annually with written reports of the findings. The play equipment has been inspected by a certified playground inspector and there is an ongoing maintenance program to improve the safety of the equipment. A quarterly inspection of the buildings, grounds and play equipment is done by the Lead Custodian and documented on a standard form.
- Student, parent and staff safety training is done on an ongoing basis through in-services, fire and earthquake drills and parent universities.

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Suspensions	4.8	2.7	2.0	8.8	10.5	8.5
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.4

***The data in this table are not available to the CDE due to delays in receiving CBEDS information. Therefore, these data must be provided by the LEA.*

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

The original Quailwood School buildings opened during the 1980-81 school year. Since then four additional projects have added to the school's facility, the most recent of which was completed in 1989.

The school is included in the District five-year deferred maintenance plan that is submitted to the State. On-going maintenance and painting is regularly scheduled for the campus.

The Director of Maintenance, Operations and Transportation oversees the team of custodial staff assuring that classrooms, offices, restrooms, and the campus grounds are kept clean and safe. Custodians are on hand during the day and evenings, at each school site. School grounds are mowed and maintained on a weekly basis. Communication is ensured by the use of two-way radios at each school site for daily use and in case of emergency.

The data reported is the determination of good repair as documented in a complete Interim Evaluation Instrument.

The school site inspection was completed on April 2, 2010.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	N/A	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	N/A	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	N/A	X			
Electrical: Electrical	N/A	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	N/A	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	N/A	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	N/A	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	N/A	X			
Overall Rating	Good				

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the [CDE DataQuest](#) Web page.

Teachers	School			District
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2009-10
With Full Credential	18	18	17	**
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	**
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	N/A

***The data in this table are not available to the CDE due to delays in receiving CBEDS information. Therefore, these data must be provided by the LEA.*

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE [Improving Teacher and Principal Quality](#) Web page.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers	Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	100	0
High-Poverty Schools in District	NA	NA
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100	0

***The data in this table are not available to the CDE due to delays in receiving CBEDS information. Therefore, these data must be provided by the LEA.*

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.5	355
Psychologist	0.5	355
Social Worker	0	0
Nurse	0.25	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1	N/A
Other	0.4	N/A

***The data in this table are not available to the CDE due to delays in receiving CBEDS information. Therefore, these data must be provided by the LEA.*

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	<i>Houghton Mifflin - Reading California</i> for K-6 adopted in 2002-03. Books are in good condition and ordered/replaced when necessary.	0
Mathematics	<i>Sadlier Oxford</i> for K adopted in 2008-09. <i>McMillan-McGraw Hill</i> for 1-2 adopted in 2008-09. <i>Harcourt Brace</i> for 3-6 adopted in 2003-04. Books are in good condition and ordered/replaced when necessary.	0
Science	<i>McMillan-McGraw Hill</i> for K-6 adopted in 2007-08. Books are in good condition and ordered/replaced when necessary.	0
History-Social Science	<i>Pearson Scott Foresman</i> for K-5 adopted in 2006-07. <i>Harcourt Brace</i> for 6th adopted in 2006-07. Books are in good condition and ordered/replaced when necessary.	0

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE [Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending](#) Web page and teacher salaries can be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$7081	\$1529	\$5552	\$64,110
District	N/A	N/A	\$4532	\$64,888
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	22.5%	-1.21%
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,681	\$64,193
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-2.32%	-0.13%

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

Quailwood offers a wide range of services to assist in the individual needs and development of its students. These programs include a Reading Clinic directed by a Title I Reading Teacher and Instructional Aides that provide additional instruction in reading using researched-based materials for students below grade level.

An English Language Development program is in place for English learners to help develop language skills and gain language proficiency.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,000	\$41,154
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$62,566	\$63,517
Highest Teacher Salary	\$78,467	\$80,951
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$95,984	\$102,080
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$97,424	\$105,643
Superintendent Salary	\$136,856	\$150,626
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	45.70 %	41.40 %
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6.30 %	6.10 %

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics, and science in grades two through eleven, and for science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA and mathematics for grades three through eight and science in grade five and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE [Standardized Testing and Reporting \(STAR\) Results](#) Web site. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the [Explaining 2008 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide](#). Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
English-Language Arts	58	55	58	63	66	69	46	50	52
Mathematics	60	58	58	66	63	66	43	46	48
Science	44	45	71	59	62	71	46	50	54
History-Social Science	0	0	0	50	58	68	36	41	44

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
African American	25	25	*	
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	*	*	*	
Filipino	*	*		
Hispanic or Latino	49	48	50	
Pacific Islander				
White (not Hispanic)	68	66	79	
Male	52	56	74	
Female	65	60	68	
Economically Disadvantaged	49	51	63	
English Learners	*	*	*	
Students with Disabilities	35	38	*	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2009-10)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE [Physical Fitness Testing \(PFI\)](#) Web page. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Healthy Fitness Zones		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	***	***	***

***These data are currently not available. The CDE expects to provide these data in December 2010.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found on the CDE [Academic Performance Index \(API\)](#) Web page.

Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from one to ten. A statewide rank of one means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of ten means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of one means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of ten means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2007	2008	2009
Statewide	7	8	7
Similar Schools	2	5	3

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

Group	Actual API Change			Growth API Score
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010
All Students at the School	34	-1	-9	814
African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	60	3	-20	793
Pacific Islander				
White (not Hispanic)	12	2	13	839
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	4	11	-12	783
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state’s standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state’s standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\) Web page](#).

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	Yes	No
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	No
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A

"Yes"

Met 2010 AYP Criteria

"No"

Did not Meet 2010 AYP Criteria

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2010-11)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\) Web page](#).

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Staff development priorities for 2008-09 include analyzing student assessment, unwrapping the standards and technology.

Training and staff development are provided at both the District and individual school site level. Fruitvale School District is part of the Kern County BTSA (Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment) Consortium. In the BTSA program, first and second year credentialed teachers are paired with District BTSA support providers who will mentor them in the California Standards for the teaching profession.

Teachers who have met California's subject matter requirements, but who are not fully credentialed will participate in the Intern program. Intern teachers will be paired with a credentialed teacher to participate in a planned sequence of instruction to obtain a California Teaching credential.

Inservice training and curriculum improvement is administered by the Director of Curriculum/Staff Development in conjunction with the Principal, School Site Council, mentor teachers, and various teacher advisory groups.

XIII. National Assessment of Educational Progress

National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a nationally representative assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodically in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Student scores for reading and mathematics are reported as performance levels (i.e., basic, proficient, and advanced) and the participation of students with disabilities and English language learners is reported based on three levels (identified, excluded, and assessed). Detailed information regarding the NAEP results for each grade, performance level, and participation rate can be found on the [National Assessment of Educational Progress](#) Web page (Outside Source).

Note: Only a sample group of California's schools and districts participate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any particular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects state test results and is not reflective of either the LEA or the individual school. Comparisons of student performance on the NAEP and student performance on the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program assessments cannot be made without an understanding of the key differences between the two assessment programs. For example, the NAEP only assesses grades four, eight and twelve and for long-term trends assesses grades nine, thirteen, and seventeen. Additionally, the NAEP only provides state test results for grades four and eight. The California Standards Tests (CSTs) are based on a different set of standards than the NAEP assessments. For example, the NAEP is not aligned with California academic content and achievement standards and, therefore, does not necessarily reflect the curriculum and instruction to which students are exposed in the classroom. The NAEP assesses reading and writing separately, while the CSTs assess English-language arts (ELA), encompassing reading as well as writing conventions, spelling, and grammar. Scores on the CSTs and other assessments are not directly comparable to those on NAEP. The averages and percentages presented are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Finally, the questions students respond to are only a sample of the knowledge and skills covered by the NAEP frameworks. Information on the differences between NAEP and CST can be found on the CDE [National Assessment of Educational Progress \(NAEP\)](#) Web page.

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the scale scores and achievement levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Results for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for grades four and eight

Subject and Grade Level	Average Scale Score		State Percent at Achievement Level		
	State	National	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Reading 2009, Grade 4	210	220	30	18	5
Reading 2009, Grade 8	253	262	41	20	2
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	232	239	41	25	5
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	270	282	36	18	5

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results for Students with Disabilities and/or English Language Learners by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the state and national participation rates on the National Assessment of Educational Progress for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for students with disabilities and/or English language learners for grades four and eight.

Subject and Grade Level	State Participation Rate		National Participation Rate	
	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners
Reading 2009, Grade 4	73	95	71	84
Reading 2009 Grade 8	81	95	72	84
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	81	95	72	83
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	85	96	78	92