

# SARC 2016-17

## SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT CARD

PUBLISHED DURING 2017-18

### Taylor (Bertha) Elementary

Address: 410 Sautner Dr. San Jose, CA 95123-5252

Principal: Lauryce Haney, Principal

Phone: (408) 226-0462

Email: [lhane@ogsd.net](mailto:lhane@ogsd.net)

Web Site: <https://taylor.ogsd.net>

CDS Code: 43696256097430

### Oak Grove Elementary

Superintendent: José Manzo

Phone: (408) 227-8300

Email: [jmanzo@ogsd.net](mailto:jmanzo@ogsd.net)

Web Site: [www.ogsd.net](http://www.ogsd.net)



## I DATA AND ACCESS

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II ABOUT THIS SCHOOL

### District Contact Information Most Recent Year

District Name: Oak Grove Elementary  
 Phone Number: (408) 227-8300  
 Superintendent: José Manzo  
 E-mail Address: [jmanzo@ogsd.net](mailto:jmanzo@ogsd.net)  
 Web Site: [www.ogsd.net](http://www.ogsd.net)

### School Contact Information Most Recent Year

School Name: Taylor (Bertha) Elementary  
 Street: 410 Sautner Dr.  
 City, State, Zip: San Jose, CA 95123-5252  
 Phone Number: (408) 226-0462  
 Principal: Lauryce Haney, Principal  
 E-mail Address: [lhane@ogsd.net](mailto:lhane@ogsd.net)  
 Web Site: <https://taylor.ogsd.net>  
 County-District-School  
 (CDS) Code: 43696256097430

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

Bertha Taylor Elementary School is a 21st century school that fosters collaborative, real-world experiences with high expectations in a safe and nurturing environment while preparing students to be productive citizens. Our four instructional pillars are: equitable access to rigorous, high-level programs, high expectations for all, instructional leadership and strong relationships with families and community. We believe that all other work including teaching, leadership and organizational practices should be built on these four strong pillars.

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Transitional Kindergarten	0
Kindergarten	108
Grade 1	79
Grade 2	65
Grade 3	103
Grade 4	98
Grade 5	79
Grade 6	77
Total Enrollment	609

### Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	2.6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0%
Asian	27.8%
Filipino	3.8%
Hispanic or Latino	23.6%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.3%
White	31.7%
Two or More Races	10.2%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	14.6%
English Learners	19%
Students with Disabilities	7.4%
Foster Youth	0%

## A. CONDITIONS OF LEARNING

### STATE PRIORITY: BASIC

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

## Teacher Credentials

<b>Teachers</b>	<b>School 2015-16</b>	<b>School 2016-17</b>	<b>School 2017-18</b>	<b>District 2017-18</b>
With Full Credential	26	26	25	464
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	5
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	1

## Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

NOTE: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Month and year in which data were collected: October 2017

Subject	Textbooks and instructional materials/year of adoption	From most recent adoption?	% Students lacking own assigned copy
Reading/Language Arts	Adopted in 2014/excellent quality/one per student	Engage New York	0%
Mathematics	Adopted in 2014/excellent quality/one per student	Engage New York at TK-6 College Preparatory Math at 7-8	0%
Science	Adopted in 2001/excellent quality/one per student	Harcourt School Publishers, Harcourt Science. Prentice Hall Publishers, Science Explorer.	0%
History-Social Science	Adopted in 1999/excellent quality/one per student	Mc Graw Hill, Adventures in Time and Place.	0%
Foreign Language			0%
Health		Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, HBJ Health	0%
Visual and Performing Arts		Silver Burdett & Ginn, World of Music	0%
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)			0%

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

As per the Williams' settlement, all Oak Grove schools are maintained in a manner that assures a clean, safe, and functional site as determined by periodic evaluation.

Measure P: On November 4, 2014 the voters in Oak Grove School District approved the issuance of Measure P. Measure P authorized the issuance of \$89.8 million in bonds to benefit the Oak Grove School District. The funds are meant to be used to renovate, repair, acquire, construct and modernize classrooms and facilities, and increase student access to computers and technology.

During the summer of 2015, 2016 and 2017, ten school sites (Anderson, Bernal, Davis, Del Roble, Edenvale, Oakridge, Parkview, Herman, Hayes and Sakamoto), had over approximately 38 million dollars of HVAC and Roofing renovations completed.

After the successful completion of the Measure Q Bond program, the Oak Grove community approved Measure S in November 2008 that authorized an additional \$125 million General Obligation Bond to continue needed school modernization projects, construct two new intermediate school gymnasiums, and consider solar technology systems throughout the district. Combined with local Routine Repair funds and State Deferred Maintenance funds, future facility improvements will insure that critical services continue to be provided for our students for years to come.

## School Facility Good Repair Status

Using the most recent FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The Overall Rating

Month and year in which data were collected: January 2018

System Inspected	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned			
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	✓	-	-	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	✓	-	-	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	✓	-	-	
Electrical: Electrical	-	-	✓	Work order created.
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	-	✓	-	Work order created.
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	✓	-	-	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	✓	-	-	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	✓	-	-	

## Overall Facility Rate

Month and year in which data were collected: January 2017

	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall Rating	-	✓	-	-

## B. PUPIL OUTCOMES

### STATE PRIORITY: PUPIL ACHIEVEMENT

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

### CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards					
	School		District		State	
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17
English Language Arts/ Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	60%	56%	50%	50%	48%	48%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	56%	52%	42%	42%	36%	37%

NOTE: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016–17)

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	361	354	98.06%	55.65%
Male	192	187	97.40%	46.52%
Female	169	167	98.82%	65.87%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	97	96	98.97%	70.83%
Filipino	12	12	100.00%	66.67%
Hispanic or Latino	88	87	98.86%	37.93%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	117	115	98.29%	56.52%
Two or More Races	36	36	100.00%	58.33%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	45	44	97.78%	27.27%
English Learners	103	101	98.06%	53.47%
Students with Disabilities	34	32	94.12%	28.13%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

NOTE: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

NOTE: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

NOTE: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.



## CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	362	353	97.51%	52.12%
Male	193	186	96.37%	51.61%
Female	169	167	98.82%	52.69%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	97	96	98.97%	68.75%
Filipino	12	12	100.00%	50.00%
Hispanic or Latino	88	87	98.86%	34.48%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	118	115	97.46%	52.17%
Two or More Races	36	35	97.22%	57.14%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	45	43	95.56%	18.60%
English Learners	103	101	98.06%	50.50%
Students with Disabilities	34	32	94.12%	25.00%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

NOTE: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

NOTE: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

NOTE: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

Subject	Percentage of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	58%	52%	56%	55%	56%	54%

### STATE PRIORITY: OTHER PUPIL OUTCOMES

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Percentage of Students Meeting Four of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Five of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Six of Six Fitness Standards
5	18.70%	16.00%	54.70%

NOTE: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. ENGAGEMENT

### STATE PRIORITY: PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

## Opportunities for Parental Involvement - (School Year 2017-18)

Bertha Taylor Elementary School provides a safe, nurturing, and child-centered environment in which our children can grow academically, socially, and emotionally. We encourage our children to learn, celebrating their creativity, initiative, and individuality. Through their involvement, our parents/guardians and volunteers help provide the support and interaction that contributes to a wonderful partnership between home and school, benefiting the children at Taylor. We collectively continue to provide the best to all our children. Thank you, everyone, for making Bertha Taylor Elementary School a special place to learn and grow together. Volunteers must be fingerprinted and TB certified. Anyone interested in volunteering in any capacity should contact the principal for more information.

Volunteer opportunities include:

- School Site Council
- PTA Board
- PTA Sponsored Activities
- Classroom Volunteers
- Art Vistas Docents
- Ceramic Art Program
- Academic Mentoring Program
- Lunch Supervision
- Crossing Guards
- PTA Activities
- Book Fairs
- Walk-a-thon and Harvest Festival
- Yearbook
- Foster Grandparents
- Open House Ice Cream Social
- Jump Rope for Heart
- Taylor School Olympics
- Taylor basketball Team
- Special Activities During Lunchtime

## STATE PRIORITY: SCHOOL CLIMATE

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

## Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate*	School			District			State		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	0.01	0	0	1.75	2.65	3.18			
Expulsions	0	0	0	0.00	0.01	0.00			

## School Safety Plan – Most Recent Year

Providing a safe school is a high priority at Taylor School. To this end, there are continuous improvement processes and collaborative efforts that provide comprehensive health education and a strong academic foundation within a safe and secure learning environment that ensures success for all students.

Behavior management at Taylor is achieved primarily through Positive Behavior Intervention Systems (PBIS) strategies. This begins with explicit instruction on behavior expectations as they pertain to all areas of the campus. Policy implementation includes physical education and nutritional education.

Attendance is closely monitored and students with unexcused absences of 10% or more receive law/school district/partnership intervention. Truancy abatement is a common goal of the school/district and other agency collaborative partnerships. Currently Taylor has instituted an Attendance Incentive Program, which is targeting attendance and tardies.

In order to reduce the number of incidents of bullying and harassment among students and to ensure that students feel neither intimidated nor threatened by bullying or harassment, Taylor has an ongoing program that specifically addresses issues of race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, perceived sexual orientation, and perceptions which commonly surface in bullying/harassment incidences.

We also have ongoing classroom instruction and use social settings inside and outside the classroom to focus on the life skills of empathy, anger management, and problem solving.

Each year staff is educated and trained, and practices the concepts of the National Emergency Management System which includes fire drills, earthquake evacuation, first aid procedures, communicable disease action plan, and emergency evacuation maps.

Taylor School's discipline plan includes positive incentives, as well as appropriate alternative consequence plans. School-wide behavior expectations are posted in each classroom. Positive incentives are offered for positive behavior/attitude, good attendance, positive wellness, and practices, academics. Alternative discipline includes restorative justice, problem solving to avoid future issues, campus clean-up, campus projects, incentives, community services, behavior plans/contracts, group or individual counseling, and/or alternative placements.

Taylor School includes recognition and rewards of student achievement, and acknowledgment of student growth through awards, certificates, assemblies, and announcements. Families and community members are often invited to celebrate student successes.

## D. OTHER SARC INFORMATION

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	No	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	N/A	2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement*	N/A	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	4
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	100.0%

NOTE: Cells with NA values do not require data.

## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	Avg. Class Size	2014-15 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2015-16 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2016-17 Number of Classes*		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	27		4		25		5		23		5	
1	27		3		25		2		24		3	
2	26		4		25		4		25		2	
3	27		3		26		4		24		5	
4	30		3		33		1	1	33		1	2
5	31		3		32		3		32		2	
6	32		1	2	32		2	1	31		3	
Other												

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

## Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.1	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.6	N/A
Psychologist	.6	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.5	N/A
Resource Specialist (non - teaching)	.4	N/A
Other		N/A

NOTE: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

## Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (UnRestricted )	Average Teacher Salary
School Site				
District	N/A	N/A		\$77001
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A		
State	N/A	N/A	\$6574	\$78363
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A		

NOTE: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

Programs funded by categorical or “extra student” funds include:

1. Extended Day and Year Programs
2. Remedial Services provided by teachers
3. Community Liaison
4. Coaching (mentoring for staff development)
5. Instructional supplies and technology
6. Visual/performing arts
7. Programs for high achievers and GATE students
8. Professional development workshops and visitations

## Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$49878	\$48678
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$78066	\$78254
Highest Teacher Salary	\$95775	\$96372
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$131620	\$122364
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$136089	\$125958
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$0	\$126758
Superintendent Salary	\$251146	\$212818
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	37%	38%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

NOTE: For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

## Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Our school provides extensive training for teachers in the implementation of common core standards, Project-Based Learning (PBL), Balanced Literacy (Readers' and Writers' Workshop), Cognitively Guided Instruction (CGI), Technology (Google, Dreambox, iReady), development of effective assessment, and Positive Behavior Intervention Systems (PBIS). There are numerous opportunities for participation in district in-services, out-of-district conferences, workshops, and committees. All staff have also been trained in providing English Language Development to the students learning English. We have a leadership team/continuous improvement team that leads site staff development around analyzing student work, developing effective strategies for the classroom, and using grade level time to improve instruction.

Staff has three days of professional development in August, January, and May. We also adjust Tuesdays for professional development, team planning and individual planning. Finally teachers have two team collaboration release days per school year.