



Fruitvale Junior High School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2010-11

Published During 2011-12

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the California Department of Education (CDE) [SARC](#) Web page. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

ED-Data Partnership Web Site

Ed-Data is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides the schools contact information.

School		District	
School Name	Fruitvale Junior High	District Name	Fruitvale Elementary
Street	2114 Calloway Dr.	Phone Number	661-589-3830
City, State, Zip	Bakersfield , CA 93312-2706	Web Site	www.fruitvale.k12.ca.us/district
Phone Number	661-589-3933	Superintendent	Dr. Carl Olsen
Principal	Susan Richardson	E-mail Address	caolsen@fruitvale.k12.ca.us
E-mail Address	surichardson@fruitvale.k12.ca.us	CDS Code	15- 63479- 6104004

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010-11)

THE SCHOOL

Fruitvale Junior High has been recognized four times (1986, 1990, 1994 and 1999) as a California Distinguished School by the California Department of Education. In 1991, Fruitvale Junior High was named a National Blue Ribbon School by the U.S. Department of Education.

Fruitvale Junior High students have repeatedly competed as California State Champions at the National History Day finals, taking first place in 1990, 1991 and 2002, and second place in 1996, 1999 and 2001 in Group Performance. Students also placed second in Group Documentary (1999 and 2002), Group Project (2000), Individual Performance (2002) and third in Historical Paper in 2001. Students placed first in the State Science Fair in 1997 and 1998.

MISSION STATEMENT

Fruitvale Junior High graduates will be exceptionally well prepared for success in high school and beyond. To help all students achieve this vision, the Fruitvale school community is committed to these goals:

- Specific standards of academic achievement
- A safe and orderly adolescent-centered environment
- A comprehensive core curriculum
- Integration of technology as a learning tool throughout the curriculum
- High expectations for all learners
- A wide range of co-curricular and extra-curricular experiences
- Teaching students responsibility & respect for individual differences
- Encouraging & motivating students to not only do their best, but to take risks
- School improvement based on continual review of student multiple assessment data & parent/staff survey results
- A professional development program that focuses on improving classroom instruction
- An extensive support system for students & their families

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010-11)

The Governing Board recognizes that parents/guardians are their children's first and most influential teachers and that continued parental involvement in the education of children contributes greatly to student achievement and a positive school environment.

Parents support their children's academic efforts and their development as responsible members of society by helping their students at home with their school work, attending conferences and providing a caring environment.

Fruitvale encourages parents/guardians to serve as volunteers in the schools, attend student performances and school meetings, and participate in site councils, advisory councils and other activities in which they may undertake governance, advisory and advocacy roles.

Parent Clubs at each school are currently active in providing many activities for Fruitvale School District students. They work together to assist in school programs and community projects. Please contact the school for specific information and opportunities for parent involvement.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	377
Grade 8	348
SDC	15
Total Enrollment	740

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
African American	4.0 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.2 %
Asian	2.5 %
Filipino	2.3 %
Hispanic or Latino	31.9 %
Pacific Islander	0 %
White (not Hispanic)	53.9 %
Multiple or No Response	3.4 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	22.3 %
English Learners	5.2 %
Students with Disabilities	5.2 %

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

This table displays by subject area the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Subject	2007-08			2008-09			2009-10					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	24.3	22	34	2	28.5		46		30.8		17	5
Mathematics	23.8	11	19		28.7	1	21	2	30.8		16	6
Science	27.4	5	22		29.8		21	3	31		12	3
Social Science	24.6	10	20		29.8	2	19	3	30.9		15	7

**The data in this table are not available to the CDE due to delays in receiving CBEDS information. Therefore, these data must be provided by the LEA.

III. School Climate**School Safety Plan (School Year 2009-10)**

The School Safety Plan is revised yearly taking into account staff changes as well as content revisions. The Emergency Preparedness portion of the plan is modeled after State Standardized Emergency Management System.

The plan is dedicated to the ongoing enhancement of all aspects of school safety including:

- Maintenance of safe and orderly learning environment by maintaining the buildings and grounds to a high standard.
- Student transition to and from school with instructions on how to cross streets safely and a crossing guard posted by the school. Along with this there is a Transportation Safety Plan that includes instructions on how to board and exit the bus safely, how the red cross-over lights are used and annual evacuation exercises.
- Student behavior and discipline is outlined in the plan and is given to the parents and students via the Student/Parent handbook at the beginning of the school year.
- Disaster preparedness is accomplished by training the staff to respond to natural disasters or evacuations due to potential hazards. Many of the staff are trained in first aid and CPR procedures along with triage, search and rescue and student security in the event of an emergency.
- Playground and classroom facilities and equipment are inspected annually with written reports of the findings. The play equipment has been inspected by a certified playground inspector and there is an ongoing maintenance program to improve the safety of the equipment. A quarterly inspection of the buildings, grounds and play equipment is done by the Lead Custodian and documented on a standard form.
- Student, parent and staff safety training is done on an ongoing basis through inservices, fire and earthquake drills and parent universities.

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Suspensions	0.29	0.23	0.30	0.10	.08	.09
Expulsions	0.8	1.8	0.01	.001	0.004	.001

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

The original buildings at Fruitvale Junior High School were constructed in 1959. Additional classroom buildings were constructed in 1980, 1983 and 1991. The Leslie DeHart Multipurpose facility was completed in 1990.

The school is included in the District five-year deferred maintenance plan that is submitted to the State. On-going maintenance and painting is regularly scheduled for the campus.

The Director of Maintenance, Operations and Transportation oversees the team of custodial staff assuring that classrooms, offices, restrooms, and the campus grounds are kept clean and safe. Custodians are on hand during the day and evenings, at each school site. School grounds are mowed and maintained on a weekly basis. Communication is ensured by the use of two-way radios at each school site for daily use and in case of emergency.

The data reported is the determination of good repair as documented in a complete Interim Evaluation Instrument. The school site inspection was completed on April 19, 2011.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011-12)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	N/A	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	N/A	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	N/A	X			
Electrical: Electrical	N/A	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	N/A	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	N/A	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	N/A	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	N/A	X			
Overall Rating	Good				

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the [CDE DataQuest](#) Web page.

Teachers	School			District
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2010-11
With Full Credential	29	28	32	149
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the [CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality](#) Web page.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers	Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	100%	0.00%
All Schools in District	100%	0.00%
High-Poverty Schools in District	100%	0.00%
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0.00%	0.00%

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	1	725
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	1	725
Psychologist	0.33	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1	N/A
Other	0	N/A

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011-12)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	<i>Holt</i> for 7-8 adopted in 2008. Books are in good condition and ordered/replaced when necessary.	0
Mathematics	<i>McDougal Littell</i> for 7-8 adopted in 2007. Books are in good condition and ordered/replaced when necessary.	0
Science	<i>Holt</i> for 7-8 adopted in 2007. Books are in good condition and ordered/replaced when necessary.	0
History-Social Science	<i>Holt</i> for 7-8 adopted in 2006. Books are in good condition and ordered/replaced when necessary.	0

VIII. School Finances**Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)**

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE [Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending](#) Web page and teacher salaries can be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$5707	\$833	\$4874	\$61,035
District	N/A	N/A	\$5226	\$66,173
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-6.7%	7.8%
State	N/A	N/A	\$5455	\$65,524
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-11%	-6.9%

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

Fruitvale Junior High offers quality services to assist in the individual needs and development of its students.

An English Language Development program is in place for English learners to help develop language skills and gain language proficiency.

After school programs run twice a week by teacher to serve as a means to help students increase academic achievement in math, language arts and reading as well as offering opportunities to assist with homework.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,000	\$41,183
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$62,566	\$63,647
Highest Teacher Salary	\$78,467	\$80,955
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$95,984	\$102,400
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$98,864	\$106,158
Superintendent Salary	\$136,856	\$151,742
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	46.00 %	41.00 %
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7.00 %	6.00 %

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics, and science in grades two through eleven, and for science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA and mathematics for grades three through eight and science in grade five and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE [Standardized Testing and Reporting \(STAR\) Results](#) Web site. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the [Explaining 2010 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide](#). Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
English-Language Arts	64	72	73	66	69	69	50	52	54
Mathematics	44	51	50	63	66	64	46	48	50
Science	58	70	71	62	71	70	50	54	57
History-Social Science	58	68	76	58	68	76	41	44	48

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	69	64	70	76
All Students at the School	73	50	71	76
African American	64	28	*	46
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*
Asian	89	79	*	*
Filipino	94	81	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	67	41	65	72
Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*
White (not Hispanic)	75	52	74	80
Male	66	51	74	73
Female	80	48	69	79
Economically Disadvantaged	64	38	58	64
English Learners	21	7	*	*
Students with Disabilities	30	16	62	39
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2009-10)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE [Physical Fitness Testing \(PFT\)](#) Web page. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Healthy Fitness Zones		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
7	85	72	46

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found on the CDE [Academic Performance Index \(API\)](#) Web page.

Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from one to ten. A statewide rank of one means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of ten means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of one means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of ten means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
Statewide	8	8	8
Similar Schools	4	4	7

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

Group	Actual API Change			Growth API Score
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011
All Students at the School	10	28	10	850
African American				791
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	28	35	19	807
Pacific Islander				
White (not Hispanic)	0	37	3	872
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	24	39	23	909
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and the state level.

Group	2011 Growth API					
	Number of Students	School	Number of Students	LEA	Number of Students	State
All students at the school	686	850	2405	854	4,683,676	778
Black or African American	23	791	88	799	317,856	696
American Indian or Alaska Native	9		22	841	33,774	733
Asian	18	969	62	910	398,869	898
Filipino	16	939	53	934	123,245	859
Hispanic or Latino	217	807	789	817	2,406,749	729
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0		1		26,953	764
White	374	867	1322	872	1,258,831	845
Two or More Races	25	909	58	904	76,766	836
Socioeconomically Disadvantage	258	790	915	800	2,731,843	726
English Learners	36	701	169	783	1,521,844	707
Students With Disabilities	65	625	246	671	521,815	595

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\) Web page](#).

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	No	No
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	No	No
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A

"Yes"

Met 2011 AYP Criteria

"No"

Did not Meet 2011 AYP Criteria

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011-12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\)](#) Web page.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	1
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	20.0%

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Admission requirements for California's Public Universities – NA

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling**Professional Development**

Staff development priorities for 2010-11 include analyzing student assessment, unwrapping the standards and technology. Training and staff development are provided at both the District and individual school site level. Fruitvale School District is part of the Kern County BTSA (Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment) Consortium. In the BTSA program, first and second year credentialed teachers are paired with District BTSA support providers who will mentor them in the California Standards for the teaching profession.

Teachers who have met California's subject matter requirements, but who are not fully credentialed will participate in the Intern program. Intern teachers will be paired with a credentialed teacher to participate in a planned sequence of instruction to obtain a California Teaching credential.

Inservice training and curriculum improvement is administered by the Director of Curriculum/Staff Development in conjunction with the Principal, School Site Council, mentor teachers, and various teacher advisory groups. Professional Development occurs twice each school year for .5 days each time. Students are dismissed on a Minimum Day Schedule at 12:30. In addition, "time banking" allows for an early release one day per week for grades three-six. Students are dismissed 50 minutes early every Wednesday.