

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10



Joseph R. Perry Elementary

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g. Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP], test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides the school's contact information.

School		District	
School Name	Joseph R. Perry Elementary	District Name	Huntington Beach City Elementary
Street	19231 Harding Lane	Phone Number	(714) 964-8888
City, State, Zip	Huntington Beach, CA 92646	Web Site	www.hbcasd.k12.ca.us
Phone Number	714-962-3348	Superintendent	
Principal	Monique Van Zeebroeck	E-mail Address	
E-mail Address	monique.vanzeebroeck@hbcas.k12.ca.us	CDS Code	30-66530-6028880

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

No Data Available

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities.

Contact Person Name: Monique Van Zeebroeck

Contact Person Phone Number: 714-962-3348

Welcome to Perry Elementary School! This report will provide you, parents and community members with information regarding our schools achievements, resources, interventions, students and staff. Since the home and school collaboration is a key to student success, we hope you will find Perry a welcome environment made only stronger by your contributions.

J.R. Perry has a long-standing tradition of excellence. Test scores have steadily increased over the past seven years due to the dedication of all of the staff and their ability to reach parents and students. The staff at Perry School is highly qualified and engages in on-going learning of the best interventions and research-based programs available to increase student achievement. Reading success has been the main focus for students and teachers. Based on the National Reading Panel report, teachers are skilled at assessing, diagnosing and delivering instruction in the five areas of reading, Phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension. To support math instruction the school is highly engaged in Cognitively-Guided Instruction, and the Math + Music program from the MIND Institute. Did you know all Perry students in Kindergarten through fourth grade learn to play the piano keyboard? Social Studies and science uses hands-on, inquiry-based techniques to make the curriculum come alive. All of the subject areas are supported by the use of technology. The staff carefully reviews intervention programs and teaching strategies so that they can increase their repertoire of skills to meet the individual needs of students.

All students are accepted, welcomed and feel comfortable at Perry School. We pride ourselves on the diversity of ethnicity, economics, and learning styles. Perry School offers a program for gifted, English Language Learners, Title 1 students and special education. Our offerings in special education are combined for the best possible Individual Education Plan and we can combine, speech, resource, special day class, mainstreaming, full inclusion, and ABA programs to meet the child's unique needs. We work with the whole student population using the 40 Developmental Assets Programs and Second Step, a bully prevention program. We teach students their rights to be safe and happy at school and the need to respect others rights to that as well. Parents and community members are always welcome for a visit.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students	Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	121	Grade 8	0
Grade 1	71	Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 2	65	Grade 9	0
Grade 3	54	Grade 10	0
Grade 4	55	Grade 11	0
Grade 5	46	Grade 12	0
Grade 6	0	Ungraded Secondary	0
Grade 7	0	Total Enrollment	412

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
African American	0.24%	White (not Hispanic)	47.82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.73%	Multiple or No Response	10.44%
Asian	8.01%	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	55.00%
Filipino	0.49%	English Learners	27.00%
Hispanic or Latino	31.31%	Students with Disabilities	16.00%
Pacific Islander	0.97%	n/a	--

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

This table displays by grade level the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Grade Level	2006-07				2007-08				2008-09			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
Kindergarten	27.4	1	2	2	29.0	0	2	2	29.0	0	2	2
1	18.5	2	0	0	19.7	3	0	0	17.7	3	0	0
2	20.5	1	1	0	19.7	3	0	0	18.3	3	0	0
3	30.0	0	1	0	31.3	0	3	0	26.0	0	3	0
4	29.5	0	2	0	27.0	0	1	0	31.0	0	1	0
5	32.5	0	1	1	28.5	0	2	0	31.0	0	1	0
6	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0
k-3	19.4	5	0	0	20.0	1	0	0	18.0	1	0	0
3-4	31.0	0	1	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0
4-8	31.0	0	1	0	27.0	0	1	0	30.0	0	1	0
Other	12.0	2	0	0	8.0	1	0	0	0.0	0	0	0

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Suspensions	2.5	1.1	0.7	4.1	5.0	2.0
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1

Date School Safety Plan last reviewed:

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

Planned Improvements (School Year 2009-2010)

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

Item Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Gas Leaks	x			
Mechanical Systems	x			
Windows/Doors/Gates (interior and exterior)	x			
Interior Surfaces (walls, floors, and ceilings)	x			
Hazardous Materials (interior and exterior)	x			
Structural Damage	x			
Fire Safety	x			
Electrical (interior and exterior)	x			
Pest/Vermin Infestation	x			
Drinking Fountains (inside and outside)	x			
Restrooms	x			
Sewer	x			
Playground/School Grounds	x			
Roofs	x			
Overall Cleanliness	x			

Overall Summary of School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the overall summary of the results of the most recently completed school site inspection.

Item Inspected	Facility Condition			
	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall Summary		x		

Date of inspection:

Completion date of inspection form:

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/>.

Teachers	School			District
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2008-09
With Full Credential	23	20	20	312
Without Full Credential	0	1	1	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	--	--	--	n/a

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	--	--	--

Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers	Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	100.0%	0.0%
All Schools in District	100.0%	0.0%
High-Poverty Schools in District		
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100.0%	0.0%

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0.5	40
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	--	--
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	--	--
Psychologist	--	--
Social Worker	--	--
Nurse	--	--
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	--	--
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	1.0	--
Other	--	--

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2009-10)

Textbook Information

This section provides information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California, Huntington Beach City School District thoroughly inspected each of its school sites at the start of the 2008-09 school year to determine whether or not each school had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, and/or science laboratory equipment.

All students, including English Learners, are required to be given their own individual textbooks and/or instructional materials (in core subjects), for use in the classroom. Additionally, all textbooks and instructional materials used within the District must be aligned with the California State Content Standards and frameworks, with final approval by the Board of Education.

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

This section provides information if any insufficiency exists, and the reason that each pupil does not have sufficient textbooks or instructional materials.

Textbook Availability

Textbooks and instructional materials used in the district in the core subject areas of English-Language Arts, Mathematics, Science, and History-Social Science

Subject Area	Textbook Title and/or Publisher	Year of Adoption
English-Language Arts	Houghton Mifflin	2003
History-Social Science	Houghton Mifflin - 2-5	2007
History-Social Science	Scott Foresman - K-1	2007
Mathematics	Houghton Mifflin	2002
Science	Pearson Scott Foresman	2008

Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials

This table displays information about the percent of pupils who lack their own assigned textbooks and instructional materials

Core Curriculum Area	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	0%
Mathematics	0%
Science	0%
History-Social Science	0%
Foreign Language	--
Health	--
Visual and Performing Arts	--
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	--

Textbook Information Collection Date: 10/5/2009

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found at the Current Expense of Education Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/> and teacher salaries can be found on the Certificated Salaries and Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Subject Area	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic)	Average Teacher Salary
School	\$5,687.70	\$1,363.02	\$4,324.68	\$73,378.00
District	n/a	n/a	--	\$73,754.00
Percent Difference – School Site and District	--	--	--	0.5%
State	n/a	n/a	\$5,512.00	\$67,082.00
Percent Difference – School Site and State	--	--	27.5%	8.6%

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

Title I funding plays a critical role in the landmark education act more popularly known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB). Title I is federal money used to support additional staffing and programs to meet the needs of low income, low-achieving students and other designated students with special needs. Perry School uses Title I funds to assist students identified as failing, or most at risk of failing, to meet the State Board of Education standards, information regarding Title I designation assists parents and the school community to understand the impact NCLB will have on Perry School. NCLB Requires evaluation of student performance both schoolwide and by specific subgroups within the student population. Earobics, Accelerated Reader, 6 minute solution, Language!, Read Naturally and Phonics for Reading are a few of the interventions programs being implemented.

The Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) program offers additional support and opportunities to new teachers. The program is designed to assist those new to teaching to expand and deepen their teaching skills, help the school district retain more new teachers, and improve learning opportunities for students.

For experienced teachers, the Peer Assistance and Review Program utilizes the district's veteran teachers as "consultants" to assist with staff development districtwide, with a particular focus on teacher needs.

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the Certificated Salaries and Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Range	District	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,989.00	\$41,866.00
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$77,179.00	\$68,220.00
Highest Teacher Salary	\$94,135.00	\$86,536.00
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$113,740.00	\$107,858.00
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$113,604.00	\$111,405.00
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$0.00	\$112,732.00
Superintendent Salary	\$203,766.00	\$178,938.00
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	45.0%	42.1%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.7%	5.5%

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics, and science in grades two through eleven, and for science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA and mathematics for grades three through eight and science in grade five and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the Explaining 2008 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sr/documents/starpkt5intrpts.pdf>. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
English-Language Arts	49%	52%	50%	70%	70%	74%	43%	46%	50%
Mathematics	58%	63%	63%	69%	70%	74%	40%	43%	46%
Science	36%	43%	38%	66%	75%	78%	38%	46%	50%
History-Social Science	0%	0%	0%	55%	54%	62%	33%	36%	41%

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percentage of Students Scoring At Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
African American	*	*	*	
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*		
Asian	64%	73%	*	
Filipino	*	*	*	
Hispanic or Latino	36%	47%	32%	
Pacific Islander	*	*		
White (not Hispanic)	57%	75%	38%	
Male	52%	61%	46%	
Female	47%	65%	31%	
Economically Disadvantaged	38%	51%	29%	
English Learners	27%	32%	33%	
Students with Disabilities	34%	43%	*	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2007-08)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades 5, 7, and 9 only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the healthy fitness zone on all six fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found at the Physical Fitness Testing Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	18.70%	14.60%	20.80%
7	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
9	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a nationally representative assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodically in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Student scores for reading and mathematics are reported as performance levels (i.e., basic, proficient, and advanced) and the participation of students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL) is reported based on three levels (identified, excluded, and assessed). Detailed information regarding the NAEP results for each grade, performance level, and participation rate can be found on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Web page at <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/>.

Note: Only a sample group of California's schools and districts participate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any particular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects state test results and is not reflective of either the LEA or the individual school. Comparisons of student performance on the NAEP and student performance on the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program assessments cannot be made without an understanding of the key differences between the two assessment programs. For example, the NAEP only assesses grades four, eight and twelve and for long-term trends assesses grades nine, thirteen, and seventeen. Additionally, the NAEP only provides state test results for grades four and eight. The California Standards Tests (CSTs) are based on a different set of standards than the NAEP assessments. For example, the NAEP is not aligned with California academic content and achievement standards and, therefore, does not necessarily reflect the curriculum and instruction to which students are exposed in the classroom. The NAEP assesses reading and writing separately, while the CSTs assess English-language arts (ELA), encompassing reading as well as writing conventions, spelling, and grammar. Scores on the CSTs and other assessments are not directly comparable to those on NAEP. The averages and percentages presented are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Finally, the questions students respond to are only a sample of the knowledge and skills covered by the NAEP frameworks. Information on the differences between NAEP and CST can be found on the CDE National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/nr/>.

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results by Grade Level – All Students

This table displays the scale scores and achievement levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Results for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for grades four and eight.

Subject and Grade Level	Average Scale Score		Percent at Achievement Level		
	State	National	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Reading 2007, Grade 4	209	220	30	18	5
Reading 2007, Grade 8	251	261	41	20	2
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	232	239	41	25	5
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	270	282	36	18	5

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results for Students with Disabilities and/or English Language Learners by Grade Level – All Students

This table displays the state and national participation rates on the National Assessment of Educational Progress for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for students with disabilities and/or English language learners for grades four and eight.

Subject and Grade Level	State Participation Rate		National Participation Rate	
	Students with Disabilities	English Learners	Students with Disabilities	English Learners
Reading 2007, Grade 4	74	93	65	80
Reading 2007, Grade 8	78	92	66	77
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	79	96	84	94
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	85	96	78	92

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found at the API Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

API Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest 10 percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest 10 percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing 10 schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2006	2007	2008
Statewide	5	6	5
Similar Schools	3	4	1

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

API Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays by student group the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

Group	Actual API Change			Growth API Score
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009
All Students at the School	29	-1	6	775
African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	31	-10	11	716
Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White (not Hispanic)	25	-15	12	802
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	27	11	7	726
English Learners	31	0	21	722
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the AYP Web page <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

AYP Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	No	Yes
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	No	Yes
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	Yes
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	n/a	n/a

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2009-10)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (English-language arts or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the AYP Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		

XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on how teachers and staff are trained for instructional improvement.

School Accountability Report Card

Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

The teaching/learning interaction is the cornerstone of a good instructional program. To improve the quality of the program, Perry School staff engage in staff development. Teaching is an ongoing art and requires training throughout a teachers career. All training and curriculum development at Perry School revolves around the California State Content Standards and Frameworks. Just as we hope for Perry students, Perry teachers are lifelong learners.

Huntington Beach City School District regularly collaborates with all levels of district and school site staff when developing professional development activities in alignment with the No Child Left Behind Improving Teacher Quality Expectations. Instructional program improvement is driven by student needs and guided by state standards and frameworks. District and school administrators use site trend analyses, state testing, district-level assessments, teacher response, and administrator observations to identify more effective methods and strategies to achieve positive results for teachers and students.

The Assistant Superintendent of Educational Services and principals meet monthly to analyze current instructional programs, instructional materials, implementation timelines, and student achievement. Feedback is gathered at the site level through direct input and staff observation. Periodic Curriculum Development Committees are formed to address identified areas of need and focus. In the 2005-06 school year, three mandatory staff development days were held during the school year. District-level training activities and professional development revolved around the new language arts textbook adoption and differentiated instructional strategies to meet the needs of all students.

On an annual basis, the Perry school staff looks at the district and state assessment data, classroom portfolios, and work samples to determine the needs of students. Each grade level makes recommendations for new additional strategies, training, or supplemental materials that may help students in the learning process. Teachers align classroom curriculum to ensure that all students either meet or exceed state proficiency levels. Articulation between grade levels helps to give consistency to the school program. In 2007-2008 topics for staff development at Perry School included:

ScienceWorks, My Access Writing, Technology
Research-based Title I Strategies, Junior Great Books
Professional Learning Communities, Earobics
Dynamic Indicators of Basic Literacy Skills (DIBELS), Thinking Maps

A portion of our school funds is used to enable staff members to attend teaching seminars and other events designed to enhance their teaching techniques and expand their knowledge base. Teachers new to the profession are supported by peer coaching and are encouraged to attend inservices offered by the West Orange County Consortium for Special Education or education consultants. Teachers are encouraged to attend subject-specific seminars and major conferences and share what they learn with other staff members. The Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) program offers additional support and opportunities to new teachers. The program is designed to assist those new to teaching to expand and deepen their teaching skills, help the school district retain more new teachers, and improve learning opportunities for students.

Classified staff and instructional assistants are provided training and development opportunities at the school, district, and county levels. Inservices for classified staff are geared to their specialty areas.

Professional Development Days

This table displays information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

	Instructional Days With At Least 180 Instructional Minutes		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Annual number of school days dedicated to staff development	3	3	3