

Longfellow Elementary School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2014-15 School Year

Published During 2015-16

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (Most Recent Year)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Longfellow Elementary School
Street	6005 South Magnolia Ave.
City, State, Zip	Whittier, CA, 90601
Phone Number	562.789.3180
Principal	Lily Torres
E-mail Address	ltorres@whittiercity.net
Web Site	
Grades Served	K-5
CDS Code	19651106023667

District Contact Information	
District Name	Whittier City Elementary School District
Phone Number	562.789.3000
Superintendent	Dr. Ron Carruth
E-mail Address	rcarruth@whittiercity.net
Web Site	http://www.whittiercity.net

School Description and Mission Statement (Most Recent Year)

Henry Wadsworth Longfellow Elementary School is located in Whittier City, a quaint suburb 12 miles southeast of Los Angeles. Our partnership of dedicated teachers, staff, parents, and community members strive to serve as role models and empower our students to become life-long learners and productive citizens. During the 2014-15 school year, twenty-three full-time teachers, Kindergarten through Grade Five, provide instruction to approximately 615 students, 28% of which are English Language Learners. Our teaching faculty is comprised of 19 General Education teachers, one Resource Specialist (RSP) and four Special Day Teachers (SDC). Our students benefit from additional school staffing which includes: one part-time school counselor, one part-time speech pathologist, one part-time school psychologist, and one part-time instructional coach.

Longfellow School's mission is to ensure that all students learn the academic standards and social skill necessary to succeed in their next level of education. Teachers, staff, parents, and the community will work collaboratively to educate, support and challenge our students in a safe and nurturing environment.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2014-15)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	116
Grade 1	86
Grade 2	99
Grade 3	101
Grade 4	88
Grade 5	103
Total Enrollment	593

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.7
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3
Asian	0.2
Filipino	0.2
Hispanic or Latino	83.1
White	4.7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	82.8
English Learners	28.7
Students with Disabilities	7.8
Foster Youth	3.5

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2015-16
With Full Credential	23	23	24	24
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2014-15)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
All Schools in District	100.0	0.0
High-Poverty Schools in District	100.0	0.0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0.0	0.0

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Year and month in which data were collected: January 2016

Whittier City School District's policy and practices are designed to ensure that each student has access to standards based instructional materials. California's approval of Common Core State standards led to our decision to purchase "bridge" instructional materials that align with the State Standards. Currently, all teachers in grades K-8 have math curriculum that supports the teaching of the new California Math Standards; Elementary grades are currently using Eureka Math curriculum, which includes student workbooks for all students and Teacher's Editions, while Middle School is using College Preparatory Math (CPM). The CPM curriculum includes student workbooks and Teacher's Edition. In addition to math curriculum, all Elementary teachers and Middle School English Language Arts teachers have received the Writing Units of Study to support the teaching of the new writing types outlined in the Common Core State Standards. Teachers also received \$14 per student to purchase additional materials to align to the Common Core English Language Arts. This year, the District purchased the Reading Units of Study to support reading instruction for interested teachers in grades K-5. The Reading Units of Study were designed to match the rigor of the new CA Reading Standards.

A process is in place for schools to order materials at the beginning of each school year for all students. In addition, there is a process to order additional materials throughout the year to address the changing needs of their student population, and on an “as needed” basis. Each school site is responsible for notifying district staff when new students register for classes so appropriate materials can be ordered.

Our school complies with the Williams Law; every child has an appropriate textbook for all academic subjects requiring textbooks. Students are allowed to take their textbooks home for study after school when needed. The school uses district and categorical funds to provide supplemental materials for the classrooms including classroom library books, as well as materials for intervention and ELD.

Finally, WCSD has an established a thorough process for examining new instructional materials as they become available. With the full implementation of the California State Standards, the District will use that process to examine, explore, pilot and adopt new curriculum materials aligned with the CCSS, with input and feedback from teachers, administrators and parents. Based on the recommendation from the Department of Education, WCSD plans to carefully examine “Open Source” materials as well to ensure that the most appropriate materials are identified for our students.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Reading Units of Study Writing Units of Study Grades 6 - 8: Holt Reinhart, Literature and Language Arts (2003)	Yes	0
Mathematics	Kinder - Grade 5: Eureka Math Grades 6 - 8: College Preparatory Mathematics	Yes	0
Science	Delta Education, Foss Program, 2008 Adoption Year 2008	Yes	0
History-Social Science	Houghton Mifflin, Harcourt Reflections (2007) Adoption Year 2006	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Longfellow Elementary School offers a safe and secure campus where students, staff and visitors are welcomed. In 2013, Longfellow School had a new roof installed and the front office was modernized. A new electronic marquee was installed in the fall of 2014. The school is fully air-conditioned and provides up-to-date facilities and adequate space for students and staff. Longfellow Elementary is comprised of a kindergarten area, library, large multipurpose room and 32 classrooms. The school also enjoys a spacious playground with swings, a slide and play bars, as well as grassy fields for running, sports and games. A team of 2 custodians (1-day and 1-night) ensures that classrooms, restrooms and campus grounds are kept clean and safe. A scheduled maintenance program is administered by the Whittier City School District to ensure that all classrooms and facilities are maintained appropriately. Longfellow School has been identified as a Williams school and has been inspected to ensure that the school complies with the William’s requirements.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: December 2015				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2015				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
			X	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress Results for All Students (School Year 2014-15)

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)		
	School	District	State
English Language Arts/Literacy	30	30	44
Mathematics	15	21	33

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	104	103	99.0	56	22	12	8
	4	91	90	98.9	46	20	24	10
	5	102	99	97.1	48	15	27	9
Male	3		61	58.7	57	21	15	5
	4		47	51.6	55	17	21	6
	5		50	49.0	54	14	26	6
Female	3		42	40.4	55	24	7	12
	4		43	47.3	35	23	28	14
	5		49	48.0	43	16	29	12
Black or African American	3		3	2.9	--	--	--	--
	5		1	1.0	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	5		1	1.0	--	--	--	--
Filipino	5		2	2.0	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	3		62	59.6	52	24	15	8
	4		79	86.8	46	22	23	10
	5		86	84.3	50	14	28	8
White	3		2	1.9	--	--	--	--
	4		6	6.6	--	--	--	--
	5		4	3.9	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		86	82.7	56	22	13	7
	4		72	79.1	44	19	26	10
	5		84	82.4	50	14	26	10
English Learners	3		25	24.0	76	24	0	0
	4		20	22.0	75	20	5	0
	5		14	13.7	93	0	7	0
Students with Disabilities	3		12	11.5	92	8	0	0
	4		6	6.6	--	--	--	--
	5		16	15.7	81	0	13	6
Foster Youth	3		--	--	--	--	--	--
	4		--	--	--	--	--	--
	5		--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics

Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	3	104	104	100.0	51	32	13	4
	4	91	90	98.9	46	40	9	6
	5	102	100	98.0	63	22	11	1
Male	3		62	59.6	53	29	11	6
	4		47	51.6	57	34	6	2
	5		50	49.0	64	24	10	2
Female	3		42	40.4	48	36	17	0
	4		43	47.3	33	47	12	9
	5		50	49.0	62	20	12	0
Black or African American	3		3	2.9	--	--	--	--
	5		1	1.0	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	5		1	1.0	--	--	--	--
Filipino	5		2	2.0	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	3		63	60.6	54	27	14	5
	4		79	86.8	44	43	8	5
	5		87	85.3	66	23	7	1
White	3		2	1.9	--	--	--	--
	4		6	6.6	--	--	--	--
	5		4	3.9	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3		87	83.7	47	37	13	3
	4		72	79.1	42	44	7	7
	5		84	82.4	64	24	10	1
English Learners	3		25	24.0	60	36	4	0
	4		20	22.0	60	40	0	0
	5		14	13.7	93	7	0	0
Students with Disabilities	3		12	11.5	92	8	0	0
	4		6	6.6	--	--	--	--
	5		16	15.7	75	19	0	0
Foster Youth	3		--	--	--	--	--	--
	4		--	--	--	--	--	--
	5		--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

California Standards Tests for All Students in Science (Three-Year Comparison)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	46	40	30	52	52	45	59	60	56

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Standards Tests Results by Student Group in Science (School Year 2014-15)

Student Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
All Students in the LEA	45
All Students at the School	30
Male	37
Female	23
Black or African American	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--
Hispanic or Latino	31
White	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--
English Learners	--
Students with Disabilities	29
Foster Youth	--

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2014-15)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	16.00	17.00	1.10

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (Most Recent Year)

Parents and other community members are integral partners in accomplishing our school vision and mission. We believe that through these partnerships, all children will acquire the foundations of an enriching education and the social qualities and character traits needed to be responsible citizens and contributing members in our society. Our school welcomes and encourages parents to visit, volunteer, attend parent education sessions and to provide input for decision-making. This year, parents are expected to volunteer on campus for 10-20 hours.

Along with parent committees and councils (i.e. School Site Council, PTA, ELAC, Author's Academy Advisory Committee, Parent Leadership Team meetings), there are myriad school events that parents can volunteer and attend. Parents also have the opportunity to attend our yearly Harvest Festival in the fall, our Family Night in the spring, the Read Across America Community Read-in, school beautification days, Tea with Torres, PAWS Parent Workshops, Technology Days, student orientations, guided tours, Back to School Night, Trimester Awards ceremonies, Student of the Month assemblies, Winter program, Organic Garden Club, and more.

To further enhance our parental engagement, this year we are training a Parent Leadership Team comprised of school personnel and parents to serve as a forum to discuss, brainstorm and give input on ways to positively impact student achievement and improve their child's educational experience.

Finally, Longfellow Elementary School houses the District's Parent Room, where DELAC, ELAC, parenting classes, and other parent meetings take place. Throughout the school year, a variety of parent education sessions are offered with our partnership with Pro Whittier. Topics are selected based on an annual parent needs assessment conducted at School Site Council and ELAC meetings. The topics range in scope but are appropriate for parents of elementary school children.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions	2.33	0.56	0.90	3.17	2.59	1.59	5.07	4.36	3.80
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.10	0.09

School Safety Plan (Most Recent Year)

In addition to providing an enriching educational experience, Longfellow is committed to the safety and security of each student. To maintain these conditions which contribute to the best possible learning environment, the School Safety Plan includes the following elements:

1. **School Crime Assessment:** Longfellow provides compiled school crime information each month for the California Safe School Assessment as mandated by the State of California. This information is analyzed at each school site to determine what steps may be taken to reduce incidents of crime.
2. **Child Abuse Reporting:** Teachers and other school employees are required by law to report any cases of suspected child abuse or child neglect. Reports are investigated by the Department of Social Services to determine if any follow-up on the report is necessary.
3. **Disaster Procedures:** In order to ensure the safety of students and school personnel, the school safety committee has created a comprehensive Disaster Preparedness Plan which is reviewed on a yearly basis.
4. **School Discipline/Character Development:** Longfellow has embraced the Caring Schools Community program in order to support our school-wide discipline plan and character development. Through the lessons embedded in the program we hope to communicate high standards/expectations and to hold students accountable for their behavior. The discipline plan is given to every student and reviewed in student assemblies, such as the NED Show or classroom presentations. Staff members consistently enforce the school-wide standards.
5. **Procedures to Notify Teachers of Dangerous Pupils:** If a student is deemed dangerous because of behavior at school or behavior outside of school which has been dealt with by county juvenile authorities, the teacher(s) of the student will be promptly notified of the status of the student.

6. Sexual Harassment Policy: Longfellow strictly adheres to district policies which prohibit sexual harassment or discrimination of any kind. The sexual harassment policy is distributed to each student at the beginning of the school year, and employees are advised of their duty to take prompt action if they become aware of any incidents of sexual harassment.

7. School-wide Dress Code: Longfellow believes that a clearly defined dress code contributes to a positive school environment. The primary standards for student dress and grooming include that the student should be neat and clean at school, and the student should not wear clothing that compromises safety or modesty or that is disruptive to the educational process. To this end, Longfellow School has a uniform policy for all students. Parents may request a uniform waiver request prior to the start of school.

8. Safe and Orderly Environment: Longfellow believes a safe and orderly environment is necessary to ensure a positive learning experience for all students. Regular supervision of students is the core of creating and maintaining a safe and orderly environment. Teachers, administrators, campus supervisors and parent volunteers consistently supervise and interact with students to reinforce behavioral expectations and safety standards.

School Security

Teachers follow a supervision schedule before school and for recess duty on the playground, helping ensure that playground rules are adhered to for student safety at all times. During lunch, Longfellow has four noon-duty aides that monitor student safety.

Emergency Situations

This comprehensive plan has been developed to address various emergency situations. It is our belief that students can only thrive when they feel safe from everything from earthquakes to bullies. Parents and staff members work together to identify potential hazards and take preventive measures. Regular practice drills of emergency procedures make safety a routine and allow us to get on with the business of education.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2014-15)

AYP Criteria	School	District	State
Made AYP Overall	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	N/A	N/A	N/A
Met Attendance Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2015-16)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2011-2012	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 3	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	7
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	77.8

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2012-13				2013-14				2014-15			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	26		4		24	1	4		24	1	4	
1	29		3		30		3		27		3	
2	32		2		31		3		30		3	
3	25	1	4		32		2		27	1	3	
4	33			3	32		2	1	28		3	
5	29	1	1	2	28	1	2	2	27	1	3	
Other	13	1			10	1						

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2014-15)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.3	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.3	N/A
Psychologist	0.5	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse	0.3	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.6	N/A
Resource Specialist	1.0	N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data. One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$3439	\$118	\$3321	\$72,265
District	N/A	N/A	\$3611	\$73,453
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-8.0	-1.6
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,348	\$72,993
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-37.9	-1.0

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Whittier City School District receives Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) based on ADA for students, as well as Concentration and Supplement Grant funding based on the percentage of “unduplicated student counts”. These funds are used for all educational services including salaries, instructional materials, maintenance, transportation and capital expenses. The District also receives Title II, Title III and Special Education funds. Federal funds from Title I are used at both the District level and also distributed to school sites. Schools receive LCFF funds, under the title of “Impact Aid”, to assist students who are struggling academically.

Title 1 and Impact Aid funding provides supplemental support to help us improve the instructional program for all students. These funds are used to provide teachers with release time so they can monitor student learning, collaborate on their instructional program, and plan for the use of curriculum and materials. Funds are also allocated to purchase material for math and reading interventions. Other services provided through supplemental funds include parent engagement activities, a library clerk, extra math manipulatives, materials to support English Learners and other collaboration opportunities.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2013-14)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$35,778	\$43,091
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$66,367	\$70,247
Highest Teacher Salary	\$83,759	\$89,152
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$104,183	\$112,492
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$109,119	\$116,021
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$117,511
Superintendent Salary	\$205,595	\$192,072
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	38%	41%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	6%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

The fundamental goal of the Whittier City School District is to continually examine and improve our practices to ensure that all students have the best opportunities to achieve high academic standards. In order to effectively prepare our students for 21st Century college and career, classroom experiences are designed to encourage student creativity, increase meaningful discourse and communication, and develop critical thinking skills, while providing opportunities for problem solving and collaboration. To reach these goals and close the achievement gap between high achievers and struggling students, the District’s instructional priorities are to:

- Provide professional development to teachers on the California Standards and the instructional practices that support the implementation of the Instructional Shifts outlined in the CCSS;
- Enhance teachers’ skill and knowledge in the integration of technology across the curriculum;
- Support teachers by providing access to timely and appropriate data on student performance;
- Provide training to develop teachers’ knowledge and skill to analyze data;
- Provide training on how to create, administer and score formative assessments;
- Provide opportunities for teachers to collaboratively work in grade level and/or department level teams to examine data, monitor student learning and plan instructional practices;
- Develop a comprehensive and effective “Response to Intervention” model that will support those students who are struggling academically and behaviorally;
- Provide professional development to teachers and schools leaders on how to develop, nurture and sustain effective “Professional Learning Communities”

Whittier City School District leaders understand the importance of ongoing, in-depth professional development directly connected to district and school learning goals. Professional Development is provided during the summer, teacher release time, after school and on Tuesday early release days and is designed to sustain and follow-up on the previous years' initiatives. The focus for 2015-2016 is to explore the Reading and Writing Standards in greater depth as well as strategies to assist students in meeting the Reading and Writing Standards. Furthermore, the Mathematics Standards and Standards for Mathematical Practices continue to be an area of focus. Teachers are learning about the new ELD Standards to support students who have yet to be reclassified. Science and Social Studies teachers in grades 6-8 are learning how to develop cognitive demanding tasks that support the expectations of the Common Core Standards for Literacy and Writing. Finally, technology integration is a component of many of our PD sessions.

District Instructional Coaches provide training and coaching support to enhance teachers' expertise in core instructional practices, lesson design, instructional planning, technology integration, and the tenets and practices of a PLC. To address the needs of our English Learners, teachers from each site have attended professional development in Systematic ELD to strengthen the implementation of a comprehensive approach to teaching English Language Development. Teams of teachers and principals from each school have participated in English Learner Shadowing to understand how to effectively provide opportunities for English Learners to engage in academic discourse and content discussions throughout the school day. The District Science Coach works with teachers to implement the FOSS Science Units and explore the Next Generation Science Standards.

Grant funding has been acquired for additional professional development. The Whittier City District was fortunate to be selected to receive the California Math and Science Partnership Grant, a 3 year, \$1.5 million grant. That grant allows us to pay stipends for 54 teachers in grades K-2 to engage in an intense, 3 year study of the new Mathematics Standards. Teachers attend a 2 week summer institute, as well as lesson study and coaching cycles during the school year to strengthen their instructional practices. The goal of the grant is to support students' conceptual understanding of mathematics and engage in the practices associated with high levels of student learning. To further support the implementation of the new standards in Middle School, ELA and Content Area teachers are part of the Reading Apprenticeship/Writing Connections Grant and receive professional development, materials and resources to assist with planning lessons to meet the new CA Reading Standards specific to content area teachers.

Developing teacher leadership is a critical component of our approach to Professional Learning. Opportunities for teachers to assume leadership roles include serving as a Lead Teachers at the site and on the District Curriculum Improvement Teams (CITs) at the District level. CITs meet to analyze and revise the District's Essential Agreements, Benchmark Assessments and Pacing Guides as well as make recommendations for improvements. Our focus on ensuring academic success for all students is supported by on-going training for all teachers and support staff on how and when to administer universal screening assessments designed to identify at risk students in a timely manner. Additional training is offered throughout the year on how to effectively use the data gathered to implement researched based intervention materials designed to meet specific needs of struggling students.