

School Accountability Report Card Reported for School Year 2008-09 Published During 2009-10

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g. Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP], test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides the school's contact information.

School		District	
School Name	Marin Elementary School	District Name	Albany Unified School District
Street	1001 Santa Fe	Phone Number	(510) 558-3750
City, State, Zip	Albany, Ca 94706	Web Site	ausd@ausdk12.org
Phone Number	510 558.4740	Superintendent	Ms. Marla Stephenson
Principal	Laura Casdia	E-mail Address	rmartinez@ausdk12.org
E-mail Address	lcardia@ausdk12.org	CDS Code	01611276095376

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

In 1998 the School Accountability report card was established by Prop 98. The initiative requires that each school issue a report annually, which shares achievements, operation, needs and other pertinent information. We hope that this information is helpful in describing our school and the many successes of the staff and students. The strength of our school lies with the staff who are knowledgeable, thoughtful and caring and who share the belief that all students can learn. The Albany Unified School District is working hard to prepare students to be successful in an ever-changing world. Our goal is to meet the needs of our students, despite a challenging funding environment. Albany Unified is fortunate to have the strong commitment of our community members who donate money, time and talent to make a difference in our schools. We thank our community for demonstrating its continuing support through tax assessments, bond elections, local fund raising, and participation in the school program.

Our mission is to build a solid educational foundation for all students while inspiring, guiding and challenging them to learn and reach out to the world with compassion, integrity and courage. Our goal is to maintain the excellence of our school while maintain a comprehensive school safety plan that meets local and state guidelines. The School Safety Plan is approved annually by the School Board. Practice drills for fire, earthquakes and emergencies are held with the staff and students. Emergency kits are in classrooms and additional supplies are stored.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about opportunities for parents to become involved with school activities.

Our schools value and enjoy a high level of parent involvement. The school works in partnership with parents to support students, staff and programs.

Opportunities for parent involvement include School Site Council, PTA, Music Boosters, Albany Education Foundation, English Language Learner Advisory Committee, SchoolCare, and classroom volunteers. Communication is accomplished through weekly school wide newsletters, both on-line and on paper, as well as classroom newsletters; phone calls, report cards, and conferences.

The PTA supports the instructional program with parent education, campus beautification, supplemental art program. They also organize many community events in prior to school beginning, as Kindergarten play dates and the Ice Cream Social, also an International Potluck in the fall months help bring our community together. Fundraising activities, including escript, The Harvest Moon Auction, Book Fair, and Spring Hundrathon all support Marin School programs. The School Site Council monitors our program of instructional improvements. These organizations and other community resources have provided help to our classrooms in a number of ways, such as funds for supplemental instructional materials, additional technology materials, in-service training activities for staff, improvements for our school yard, and special assemblies and school performances. In addition, many of our classrooms also benefit from help given by parent volunteers. To find out how you can get involved, call the school or talk to your child's classroom teacher.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	82
Grade 1	78
Grade 2	81
Grade 3	82
Grade 4	91
Grade 5	87
Total Enrollment	501

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
African American	1.60	White (not Hispanic)	58.88
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.80	Multiple or No Response	6.39
Asian	22.95	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	9.00
Filipino	1.80	English Learners	17.00
Hispanic or Latino	7.39	Students with Disabilities	2.00
Pacific Islander	0.20		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

This table displays, by grade level, the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Grade Level	2006-07				2007-08				2008-09			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	18.5	4			20.2	3	2		20.5	2	2	
1	20.2	4	1		21.0	2	3		19.5	4		
2	20.2	4	1		20.4	3	2		20.3	2	2	
3	20.3	3	1		21.0		5		20.5	2	2	
4	29.3		3		29.7		3		30.3		3	
5	29.7		3		29.7		3		29.0		3	
K-3												
3-4												
4-8												
Other												

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

We maintain a comprehensive school Safety Plan that meets local and state guidelines. The safety plan is annually approved by the School Board. Practice drills for fire, earthquakes and emergencies are held with the staff and students. Emergency kits are in classrooms and additional emergency supplies are stored on site. The status of the school's safety plan is reported to the Board of Education annually. The key elements of the school's annual safety plan are as follows:

Part I: Emergency Organization and Management

Responsibilities of AUSD site personnel, instructional staff, site coordinator, and ERT committee. Concept of Emergency Functions, including a summary of planned response, Site ERT notification, communications, evacuation, damage survey, student release and site closure, shelter, crisis incident stress debriefing, after event critique, and after action report.

Part II: Emergency Procedures

- Building evacuation
- Fire
- Chemical accident
- Earthquake
- Air Pollution
- Explosion/aircraft crash
- Bomb threat
- Suspicious individuals
- Medical/First aid
- Terrorist situation
- Part III Emergency Forms/Checklists
- Sample parent letter
- Hazard identification checklist
- Recommended emergency supplies
- Guidelines for preparing a Buddy/Teacher list
- Sample emergency information sheet
- Sample student district notice of first aid care
- Sample site status report

For further information regarding the school's safety plan, feel free to contact the principal.

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Suspensions	0.0	3.6	1.4	5.6	4.6	9.8
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms based on the most recent data available, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

District facility committees have completed studies of district-wide needs as we plan for the future. In general, our district needs to prepare for continued growth in enrollment and is renovating existing facilities. Voters passed a \$31.6 million school bond for construction and renovation of the Albany schools. With the school bond and state funding, the district built a new middle school in 1999 and completed a new high school in 2001 to replace the existing Albany High School. An additional State modernization bond was passed Fall 2003 and a local construction bond was passed by Albany voters in Fall 2004. Modernization of all district elementary schools began in 2000 and was completed in 2007. Modernization includes new fire alarm/sprinkler system, new intercom/phone system, network, seismic retrofit, ADA-compliant restrooms/accessibility, code-compliant heating/ventilation/AC (HVAC), energy conservation, new lighting, suspended ceilings, code-compliant doors and door hardware, replacement of old floor tile, roof system repair/replacement, replacement of portable classrooms, painting, etc.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/>.

Teachers	School			District
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2008-09
With Full Credential	25	25	21	177
Without Full Credential	1	1	1	14
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	---

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). *Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.*

Indicator	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by	
	NCLB Compliant Teachers	Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	88.8	11.2
High-Poverty Schools in District		
Low-Poverty Schools in District	88.6	11.4

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	1.0	---
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0.47	---
Psychologist	0.4	---
Social Worker		---
Nurse		---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.8	---
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)		---
Other		---

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	The District adopted Houghton- Mifflin Language Arts materials for all students grade K-5.	0
Mathematics	The District has adopted Everyday Math and is implementing the program in the current school year.	0
Science	The Board adopted Delta/Full Option Science System in 2007	0
History-Social Science	The District has adopted Houghton Mifflin for K-1, and is using Harcourt for grades 2-5.	0
Foreign Language	N/A	N/A
Health	N/A	N/A
Visual and Performing Arts	N/A	N/A

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/> and teacher salaries can be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

The Average Teacher Salary reported on this table only represents the average of classroom teachers salaries. The salary amounts for non-teaching or district-wide positions that are paid on the certificated salary schedule are not included in the amount. The salary amount reported for the district and state averages includes all employees that are paid on the certificated salary schedule which accounts for the higher averages in those categories.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$6,560	\$1,587	\$4,973	\$57,255
District	---	---	\$5,443	\$64,086
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	-8.63%	-10.66%
State	---	---	\$5,512	\$65,425
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	-9.78%	-12.49%

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are provided at the school through either categorical funds or other sources.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,779	\$38,941
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$62,305	\$59,686
Highest Teacher Salary	\$83,985	\$77,828
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$111,800	\$94,258
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$124,874	\$98,271
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$129,961	\$104,869
Superintendent Salary	\$220,307	\$142,247
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	28.5	38.2
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.9	5.9

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA for grades three through eight and science in grades five and eight and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the Explaining 2008 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/sr/documents/starpkt5intrpts.pdf>. *Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.*

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
English-Language Arts	76	78	88	71	71	74	43	46	50
Mathematics	70	76	82	69	70	73	40	43	46
Science	77	72	77	63	70	72	38	46	50
History-Social Science				55	51	58	33	36	41

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
African American	*	*	*	
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	
Asian	90	87	79	
Filipino	*	*		
Hispanic or Latino	73	59		
Pacific Islander				
White (not Hispanic)	89	84	80	
Male	86	80	75	
Female	91	85	81	
Economically Disadvantaged	66	68		
English Learners	71	72	42	
Students with Disabilities	*	*		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2008-09)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE Physical Fitness Testing Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>. *Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.*

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	29.5	55.7	

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found at the CDE Academic Performance Index (API) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2006	2007	2008
Statewide	10	9	9
Similar Schools	7	2	2

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. *Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.*

Group	Actual API Change			Growth API Score
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009
All Students at the School	-21	14	45	925
African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	-39	38	25	946
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Pacific Islander				
White (not Hispanic)	-18	0	42	934
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners		47	37	891
Students with Disabilities				

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2009-10)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	

XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

The teaching faculty is offered three district-wide staff development days during the school year. Along with meetings held every Wednesday afternoon, these professional development days offer teachers the opportunity to collaborate with one another about their curriculum, instruction and assessments, to share best practices with colleagues, and to discuss ways to better support our students' learning. On a monthly basis, Marin teachers collaborate with the faculty at Cornell School and Ocean View School in order to align our work across the district. An on-going focus for this collaboration is the implementation of our newly adopted math program, Everyday Math.

XII. National Assessment of Educational Progress

National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a nationally representative assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodically in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Student scores for reading and mathematics are reported as performance levels (i.e., basic, proficient, and advanced) and the participation of students with disabilities and English language learners is reported based on three levels (identified, excluded, and assessed). Detailed information regarding the NAEP results for each grade, performance level, and participation rate can be found on the [National Assessment of Educational Progress](#) Web page (Outside Source).

Note: Only a sample group of California's schools and districts participate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any particular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects state test results and is not reflective of either the LEA or the individual school. Comparisons of student performance on the NAEP and student performance on the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program assessments cannot be made without an understanding of the key differences between the two assessment programs. For example, the NAEP only assesses grades four, eight and twelve and for long-term trends assesses grades nine, thirteen, and seventeen. Additionally, the NAEP only provides state test results for grades four and eight. The California Standards Tests (CSTs) are based on a different set of standards than the NAEP assessments. For example, the NAEP is not aligned with California academic content and achievement standards and, therefore, does not necessarily reflect the curriculum and instruction to which students are exposed in the classroom. The NAEP assesses reading and writing separately, while the CSTs assess English-language arts (ELA), encompassing reading as well as writing conventions, spelling, and grammar. Scores on the CSTs and other assessments are not directly comparable to those on NAEP. The averages and percentages presented are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Finally, the questions students respond to are only a sample of the knowledge and skills covered by the NAEP frameworks. Information on the differences between NAEP and CST can be found on the CDE [National Assessment of Educational Progress \(NAEP\)](#) Web page.

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results by Grade Level – All Students

This table displays the scale scores and achievement levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Results for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for grades four and eight.

Subject and Grade Level	Average Scale Score		State Percent at Achievement Level		
	State	National	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Reading 2007, Grade 4	209	220	30	18	5
Reading 2007, Grade 8	251	261	41	20	2
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	232	239	41	25	5
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	270	282	36	18	5

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results for Students with Disabilities and/or English Language Learners by Grade Level – All Students

This table displays the state and national participation rates on the National Assessment of Educational Progress for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for students with disabilities and/or English language learners for grades four and eight.

Subject and Grade Level	State Participation Rate		National Participation Rate	
	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners
Reading 2007, Grade 4	74	93	65	80
Reading 2007, Grade 8	78	92	66	77
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	79	96	84	94
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	85	96	78	92