



Cottonwood Canyon Elementary School

32100 Lost Rd. • Lake Elsinore, CA 92532 • (951) 244-2585 • Grades K-5

Elizabeth Atkinson, Principal

elizabeth.atkinson@leusd.k12.ca.us

<http://cce.leusd.k12.ca.us>

2016-17 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2017-18 School Year



Lake Elsinore Unified School District

545 Chaney St.

Lake Elsinore, CA 92530

(951) 253-7000

www.leusd.k12.ca.us

District Governing Board

Stan Crippen, Trustee Area 1

Susan E. Scott, Trustee Area 2

Heidi Matthies Dodd, Trustee Area
3

Juan I. Saucedo, Trustee Area 4

Steven L. Wood, Trustee Area 5

District Administration

Dr. Doug Kimberly
Superintendent

Dr. Gregory J. Bowers
Assistant Superintendent

Dr. Alain Guevara
Executive Director

Dr. Kip Meyer
Assistant Superintendent

Arleen Sanchez
Chief Business Officer

Tracy Sepulveda
Assistant Superintendent

Sam Wensel
Executive Director

Superintendent's message

LEUSD is well positioned for the 2018 school year! The collaboration between voters, parents, teachers and staff has resulted in student achievement growth, improved facilities and playing fields under Measure V, and new instructional technology for classrooms.

Under our state accountability system, the Fall 2017 update to the California School Dashboard was recently released. The Dashboard provides teachers and principals with valuable performance data that is examined weekly during their PLC collaboration time, and used to guide instruction. The current Dashboard shows LEUSD schools are making progress.

LEUSD improved in several areas, though English Language Arts and Math growth indicators are little changed from a year ago, a trend statewide. The Dashboard is powered by six state indicators and four local indicators, along with a variety of custom reports by which to compare schools, districts, and student subgroups. Dashboard color codes reflect status and change to depict achievement growth ranging from Red (lowest) to Blue (highest).

LEUSD performance highlights:

SUSPENSION RATES—by lowering suspensions, results for the 'Suspension' indicator have IMPROVED from orange to yellow, changing from a high suspension rating to a medium suspension rating.

'EL' PROGRESS—English Learners IMPROVED from yellow to green, changing from 'Medium' to 'High' as a result of an additional 3.1% students making progress towards English proficiency.

GRADUATION RATE—this indicator has IMPROVED from green to blue. The District continues to have a 'High' rating due to an increase in graduating students of 1.5%.

COLLEGE/CAREER PREPAREDNESS—growing College & Career Preparedness is an area for increased attention. The CA School Dashboard shows 35.2% of LEUSD graduates as being 'Prepared.' The State will not have a color indicator for College & Career Preparedness until 2018, but notably, LEUSD 11th grade students' ELA and Mathematics scaled scores increased in both areas respectively by 0.3 points and 4.2 points, a positive college readiness indicator.

CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM—for the first time, the CA Schools Dashboard includes District and school Chronic Absenteeism rates, though a Chronic Absenteeism color indicator does not appear on the Fall 2017 report. District wide, LEUSD's Chronically Absent statistic is 12.8%.

LEUSD met all local indicators for implementing state standards, providing safe school facilities, adequate books and instructional materials, as well as meeting indicators for school climate, and student and parent engagement. View how LEUSD is performing at www.caschooldashboard.org. These are positive indicators, so let's be mindful of the many positive accomplishments of 2017 to help set the bar high for 2018.

Sincerely,
Dr. Doug Kimberly,
Superintendent

Principal's Message

Cottonwood Canyon Elementary School will educate a growing, diverse population of students in grades TK-5. CCE is a No Excuses University School focusing on preparing students for college, career and beyond by developing well-rounded citizens equipped for the 21st century.

High academic and behavioral expectations for staff and students will foster positive self-esteem, responsibility, ethics, and support a safe school environment. Programs will promote community service and lifelong learning in a global society.

Cooperation and support of parents, business partners, community, and school will result in a successful core academic program which meets the needs of all students. This will be measured and monitored through frequent assessments. Staff and students will be encouraged to do their best and will be recognized for their efforts.

Staff development for staff and parents will be offered by District and site administration to enhance skills to maximize learning. Utilization of State and federal funding will be pursued and allocation of resources will be reviewed and evaluated to provide optimum services.

Together we work to create an environment where children thrive, discipline is essential, and honesty is inherent.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	166
Grade 1	134
Grade 2	123
Grade 3	158
Grade 4	156
Grade 5	161
Total Enrollment	898

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	2.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2
Asian	2.2
Filipino	2.1
Hispanic or Latino	35.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.6
White	49.9
Two or More Races	7
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	36.3
English Learners	8
Students with Disabilities	8.7
Foster Youth	0.4

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Cottonwood Canyon Elementary School	15-16	16-17	17-18
With Full Credential	40	40	
Without Full Credential	0	0	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	
Lake Elsinore Unified School District	15-16	16-17	17-18
With Full Credential	♦	♦	
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Cottonwood Canyon Elementary	15-16	16-17	17-18
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	1	0	

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Lake Elsinore Unified School District chooses instructional materials which are aligned to State content standards, present a broad spectrum of knowledge and viewpoints, reflect society's diversity, and enhance the use of multiple teaching strategies and technologies. Materials for grades K-8 are chosen from the State-adopted list. Materials for grades 9-12 are evaluated and recommended by subject area teachers. Curriculum and textbook subcommittees are comprised of teachers (subject or grade level) and administrators. The subcommittee recommends instructional materials to the Curriculum Advisory Committee (CAC), which has representatives from every school. The CAC evaluates and recommends materials to the school board, which makes the final approval of all adopted instructional materials. Parents and community members may review adopted materials at the District office.

Lake Elsinore Unified School District held a hearing for the sufficiency of textbooks September 22, 2016

Textbooks and Instructional Materials	
Year and month in which data were collected: September 14, 2017	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	Reading {Ca} Medallion Edition, Houghton Mifflin, 2008: Adopted 2002/2012 Corrective Reading Comprehension & Decoding, SRA: Adopted 2006 READ 180, Level A and B, Scholastic: Adopted 2006. TK, Big Day for Pre K Center Based Curriculum Scholastic, 2010, Avenues for English Language Development, Pre K-Level F Hampton Brown 2004, System 44 Next Upper Elementary, Scholastic 2012 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Mathematics	enVision Math Common Core, Scott Foresman/Addison Wesley, 2012: Adopted 2013 Number Worlds, SRA/ McGraw-Hill: Adopted 2008 Scholastic MATH 180: Adopted 2013 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science	California Science, Houghton Mifflin, 2005: Adopted 2007 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
History-Social Science	History-Social Science for California, Scott Foresman, 2006: Adopted 2006 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0
Science Laboratory Equipment	N/A The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The Lake Elsinore Unified School District (LEUSD) is committed to maintaining a safe, secure, and aesthetically pleasing environment for our students. The LEUSD Governing Board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the District. A summary of these standards is available at the school office and at the District Office. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school. In addition to District-level support of routine and periodic maintenance needs, LEUSD holds high standards for cleanliness, appearance, and good repair of all campuses daily and maintains them through the efforts of the students, staff, day custodian, evening custodial crew, and District Maintenance Department. Our maintenance staff ensures the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner.

A work-order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. LEUSD regularly assesses maintenance, modernization, and new construction needs. Any pesticide use at our school sites is in compliance with the Healthy Schools Act of 2000.

Fire drill evacuations are conducted on a monthly basis at all elementary and middle schools, and twice a year at the high schools in the District. A fire safety inspection is conducted once each year by the Riverside County Fire Department, while site personnel conduct site inspections on a regular basis.

The school's Disaster Preparedness Plan is updated annually, and disaster drills are conducted four times per year at each elementary school and middle school, and once each semester at the high schools.

Currently, the District provides storage areas for emergency supplies to be kept on campus in the event of a disaster. A radio communication system allows for continuous communication with the District office in the event of a disaster.

A Crisis Intervention Team has been identified and trained to deal with stress experienced by students and staff as a result of a crisis situation. Team members are called upon to assist across the District as necessary. As part of the comprehensive School Safety Program, School Resource Officers provide prevention and intervention procedures on all LEUSD campuses at least part time.

Our school was built in 2002. It has 26 regular classrooms, six science labs, eight portables, a library, a computer lab, a multipurpose room, and five special education rooms. The designed capacity of the school is 1,300 students. Athletic facilities include a gym, dance room, and outside courts and fields.

A progressive discipline plan is in place and multiple discipline and Character Counts assemblies are conducted throughout the year. Four campus supervisors and a part-time School Resource Officer also help maintain a safe environment. The WE TIP program is utilized so that students may report crime anonymously and all leads are followed up immediately.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: 6/3/2017				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			BIG TOY PLAYGROUND: The playground surfacing has eroded and has created it to be unsafe for playing.
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	X			

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2016-17 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17
ELA	48	44	41	40	48	48
Math	39	39	27	28	36	37

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)				
Group	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced
All Students	149	146	98.0	58.9
Male	85	84	98.8	54.8
Female	64	62	96.9	64.5
Hispanic or Latino	58	57	98.3	54.4
White	74	72	97.3	62.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	66	65	98.5	44.6
Students with Disabilities	16	15	93.8	26.7

* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
	School		District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16
Science	59	59	55	55	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Grade Level	2016-17 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	27.7	25.8	29

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	485	479	98.76	44.26
Male	248	244	98.39	45.49
Female	237	235	99.16	42.98
Black or African American	15	15	100	33.33
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	184	182	98.91	35.71
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	230	226	98.26	48.67
Two or More Races	35	35	100	57.14
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	178	177	99.44	34.46
English Learners	49	49	100	34.69
Students with Disabilities	60	59	98.33	20.34
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3--Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	485	479	98.76	38.62
Male	248	244	98.39	41.39
Female	237	235	99.16	35.74
Black or African American	15	15	100	20
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	184	182	98.91	34.62
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	230	226	98.26	43.81
Two or More Races	35	35	100	28.57
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	178	177	99.44	29.38
English Learners	49	49	100	26.53
Students with Disabilities	60	59	98.33	10.17
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Cottonwood Canyon Elementary School encourages parents to be involved in their child's education. We offer many opportunities for parent participation, including the following:

- Volunteering in the classroom
- Tutoring students
- Participating in School Site Council (SSC) and English Learner Advisory Council (ELAC)
- Volunteering for Parent-Teacher Association (PTA) activities
- Chaperoning on field trips

For more information on how to become involved at the school, please contact PTA President Evelyn Guerra at (951) 244-2585.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Our School Safety Plan was reviewed by our School Site Council February 23, 2017. The School Safety Plan was last reviewed and discussed with the school faculty February 2017.

The last review date for the school safety plan was February 23, 2017. The School Safety Plan includes information on safety drills, crisis intervention plans, safety supervision and policies governing suspensions and expulsions. The opening staff meetings for employees in August each year are a review of the above information including re-assignment of safety team duties, if necessary, based on staff needs. The School Site Council approves of our School Safety Plan annually.

The Safe School Plan (SSP) is updated annually at each site to address components that the site will proactively seek to improve as it relates to student and staff safety. The SSP is available to the public for review upon request.

The Comprehensive Safe School Plan is fully incorporated in the Single Plan for Student Achievement, Goal Seven, and includes data regarding the California Healthy Kids Survey, crime, safe school procedures, and compliance with laws including: (1) child abuse reporting, (2) disaster response and crisis intervention, (3) suspension and expulsion policies, (4) notification of teachers of dangerous pupils, (5) sexual harassment, (6) schoolwide dress codes prohibiting gang-related apparel, (7) procedures for safe ingress and egress from school, (8) procedures to ensure a safe and orderly environment conducive to learning, and (9) rules and procedures on school discipline adopted pursuant to California Education Code Sections 35291 and 35291.5.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	1.6	0.8	0.0
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
District	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	6.0	4.0	4.0
Expulsions Rate	0.2	0.2	0.2
State	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2017-18 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2013-2014
Year in Program Improvement		Year 1
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		11
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		78.6

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1
Psychologist	0
Social Worker	0
Nurse	0
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0
Resource Specialist	2
Other	0
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
K	22	21	24		1		7	7	7			
1	25	20	22		6		6		6			
2	24	24	24				7	6	5			
3	21	24	26	1			7	7	6			
4	29	32	30				5	5	5			
5	28	29	31	1			5	5	5			
Other		15	9		1	2						

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Professional Development provided for Teachers

Each year, LEUSD strongly supports and encourages teachers, administrators, and support staff to participate in professional development on a regular basis throughout the school year. LEUSD first priority is to provide assistance for teachers and classroom Para-Educators. Workshops and trainings scheduled throughout the year are based on the academic needs of students. Offerings include data analysis, curriculum and content knowledge, Standards-based instruction, instructional strategies, and leadership skills. Subsequent to initial training, follow up and coaching take place districtwide. The District's goal is to provide opportunities for teachers, administrators, and staff in order to meet the learning needs of all students. An in-house professional growth program is provided for all staff.

FY 2015-16 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,685	\$47,808
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$78,313	\$73,555
Highest Teacher Salary	\$103,072	\$95,850
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$127,686	\$120,448
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$137,340	\$125,592
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$144,932	\$138,175
Superintendent Salary	\$235,509	\$264,457
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	42%	35%
Administrative Salaries	4%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2015-16 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$4,805	\$440	\$4,365	\$90,294
District	♦	♦	\$4,747	\$84,701
State	♦	♦	\$6,574	\$79,228
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-8.0	23.3
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-18.4	23.7

* Cells with ♦ do not require data.

Types of Services Funded

These programs and supplemental services are provided at the school either through categorical funds or other sources that support and assist students:

- Gifted and Talented Education (GATE)
- Resource Specialist Program (RSP)
- Special Day Class (SDC), English and Language and No Excuses University

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.