

Rowland Elementary School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year

Published During 2016-17

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Rowland Elementary School
Street	2036 S. Fullerton Road
City, State, Zip	Rowland Heights, CA 91748
Phone Number	(626) 964-3441
Principal	John Martinez
E-mail Address	jmartinez@rowlandschools.org
Web Site	www.rowlandelemschool.org
CDS Code	California

District Contact Information	
District Name	Rowland Unified School District
Phone Number	(626) 965-2541
Superintendent	Julie Mitchell, Ed.D.
E-mail Address	jmittchell@rowland.k12.ca.us
Web Site	www.rowlandschools.org

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

We are committed to see and act upon the invaluable relationships necessary among all staff, parents and community, to ensure that we work together to provide a positive and effective learning environment for our students to support becoming "the most awesome school around."

Our Vision is to provide an educational environment that maximizes learning for students and staff. We have a collective commitment to provide all students with a high-quality education.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	89
Grade 1	76
Grade 2	86
Grade 3	52
Grade 4	82
Grade 5	76
Grade 6	60
Total Enrollment	521

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	0
Asian	13.8
Filipino	4.6
Hispanic or Latino	76.8
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	1
Two or More Races	0.2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	89.1
English Learners	56.4
Students with Disabilities	5.8
Foster Youth	0.6

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	24	22	21	663.3
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
All Schools in District	98.9	1.1
High-Poverty Schools in District	98.8	1.2
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100.0	0.0

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: August, 2013

All textbooks and other classrooms resources are purchased from the state-approved textbook lists that meet California grade-level content standards.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Grades K-5: Houghton Mifflin 2003 Reading: A Legacy Grades 6-8: Holt, Rinehart and Winston 2003 Literature and Language Arts	Yes	0.0
Mathematics	Grades K-5: Holt, Rinehart and Winston 2009 Houghton Mifflin, California Math, Copyright Hill, Larson, Leiva, Stiff, et al Grades 6-8: Holt, Rinehart and Winston 2009 Holt California Mathematics Burger and Others Holt California Mathematics, Course 1: Numbers to Algebra, Student Edition	Yes	0.0
Science	Grades K-5: Houghton Mifflin Company 2007 Houghton Mifflin California Science William Badders and Others Grades 6-8: CPO Science 2007 CPO Focus on Earth CPO Writing Team Student Book Set Student Textbook - Volume 1 Investigative Manual - Volume II	Yes	0.0

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
History-Social Science	Grades K-5: Harcourt 2006 Harcourt Reflections Grade 6: McDougal Littel 2006 World History: Ancient Civilizations	Yes	0.0
Foreign Language	N/A		
Health	N/A		
Visual and Performing Arts	N/A		

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

The district's core values of safety and excellence are manifested in our commitment to provide the best maintained and cleanest facilities possible.

School site inspections are conducted on a regular basis to assess cleanliness and safety at all district sites.

Work associated with the district's measure R 2006 bond program is near completion. During the 2013-2014 school year, extensive renovations took place at many of our district schools. These renovations included:

- New casework in classrooms
- Replacement of underground infrastructure
- Upgrades to landscaping
- Removal of unsafe concrete walks and installation of new ones
- Electrical upgrades
- New intercom systems
- New door hardware for enhanced security

Regular pest control operations including pesticide applications are ongoing. All such work is performed in strict accordance with applicable regulations.

Ongoing assessment of site cleanliness is performed by the district's facilities and custodial manager. This process includes evaluation of new cleaning products, equipment, and procedures.

Maintenance work is timely, with health, life, and safety issues taking the highest priority.

Accomodations made for new testing assessment centers for common core.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: September 21, 2016				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: September 21, 2016				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			Newly planted trees; new beautification plants by building 20

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: September 21, 2016				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
			X	

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts/Literacy	30	33	46	51	44	48
Mathematics	27	31	36	39	34	36

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	56	51	91.1	29.4
	4	81	76	93.8	35.5
	5	77	76	98.7	30.3
	6	67	62	92.5	35.5
Male	3	26	24	92.3	25.0
	4	41	37	90.2	29.7
	5	38	38	100.0	31.6
	6	37	33	89.2	18.2
Female	3	30	27	90.0	33.3
	4	40	39	97.5	41.0
	5	39	38	97.4	28.9
	6	30	29	96.7	55.2
Asian	5	11	11	100.0	54.5
Hispanic or Latino	3	40	39	97.5	28.2
	4	66	63	95.5	28.6
	5	61	60	98.4	25.0
	6	54	52	96.3	34.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	45	43	95.6	23.3
	4	73	70	95.9	34.3
	5	67	66	98.5	27.3
	6	57	54	94.7	31.5
English Learners	3	32	29	90.6	6.9
	4	28	25	89.3	8.0
	5	25	24	96.0	4.2
	6	21	18	85.7	11.1

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	55	53	96.4	50.9
	4	81	80	98.8	32.5
	5	77	77	100.0	32.5
	6	67	66	98.5	12.1
Male	3	26	25	96.2	60.0
	4	41	40	97.6	37.5
	5	38	38	100.0	36.8
	6	37	36	97.3	8.3
Female	3	29	28	96.5	42.9
	4	40	40	100.0	27.5
	5	39	39	100.0	28.2
	6	30	30	100.0	16.7
Asian	5	11	11	100.0	72.7
Hispanic or Latino	3	39	38	97.4	39.5
	4	66	66	100.0	25.8
	5	61	61	100.0	22.9
	6	54	54	100.0	11.1
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	45	44	97.8	43.2
	4	73	73	100.0	28.8
	5	67	67	100.0	28.4
	6	57	56	98.3	5.4
English Learners	3	32	31	96.9	35.5
	4	28	27	96.4	7.4
	5	25	25	100.0	12.0
	6	21	21	100.0	4.8

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	33	39	42	64	59	53	60	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	# of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students Proficient or Advanced
All Students	77	76	98.7	42.1
Male	38	38	100.0	50.0
Female	39	38	97.4	34.2
Asian	11	11	100.0	63.6
Hispanic or Latino	61	60	98.4	33.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	67	66	98.5	37.9
English Learners	25	24	96.0	8.3

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	20.8	33.8	20.8

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Rowland Elementary believes in the partnership of our parents and community to support our students' education. We provide many opportunities for our parents to have involvement in our learning community and leadership activities for parents. Involvement and leadership activities for parents include:

Back to School Night
 School Site Council (SSC)
 English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC)
 Parent Teacher Association (PTA)
 Family Learning Nights
 GATE Parent Meeting
 Reclassification Meetings
 Parent Teacher Conferences Fall/Spring
 Parent Involvement Academy
 School-wide Parent and Community Celebrations
 Read Across America
 Jump Rope for Heart
 Student Recognition Assemblies
 Founder's Day
 Open House

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	1.2	0.9	0.7	3.8	2.6	3.1	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

Rowland Elementary's Safety Plan was reviewed, updated and discussed with school staff/Safety Committee and School Site Council in September and October 2015 prior to the statewide earthquake drill. The plan was approved by the RUSD Board of Education in January 2016.

We are a closed/secured campus and we have school-wide practice drills for fire, earthquake, shelter in place, lockdown, and disaster. Rowland Elementary receives support from the Rowland Unified School Police as needed.

In addition to maintaining closed campuses that are secured with fencing, schools are carefully monitored by campus police officers before, during and after school. An up-to-date phone system, fire alarm, intercom and other communications systems have been installed. The phone system gives teachers the ability to make outside calls to parents, and emergency support services when needed. Rowland Elementary regularly implements visitor sign in and sign out procedures; combined with one-way access through the school office student safety is improved.

To support a safe teaching and learning environment, Rowland Rascal's pride ourselves by participating in the school-wide Pledge of Allegiance and Community of Caring events such as: Jump Rope for Heart, Red Ribbon Week, Great American Smoke Out, Safety Week, Pennies for Patients Leukemia Foundation, and Holiday food drives. In addition, Rowland Elementary has a student leadership council whose primary purpose is to develop personal leadership qualities by providing servant leadership projects to our school community.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2009-2010	2009-2010
Year in Program Improvement*	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	11
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	73.3

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2013-14				2014-15				2015-16			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	17	6	4		16	10			16	10		
1	20	3	3		20	3	4		20	3	4	
2	20	3	4		16	6			16	6		
3	20	3	4		18	3	3		18	3	3	
4	24	1	3		20	3	1	2	20	3	1	2
5	21	2	2		23	2		2	23	2		2
6	19	3	3		24	2		2	24	2		2

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1	N/A
Psychologist	0.2	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0.1	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.08	N/A
Resource Specialist	1	N/A
Other	1	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	\$5,748	\$642	\$5,106	\$74,932.00
District	N/A	N/A	\$5,157	\$77,333
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-1.0	4.5
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,677	\$74,216
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-4.5	4.8

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

The Rowland Unified School District promotes, expects, and accepts nothing short of excellence. We have a collective commitment to be the best school district in California and provide comprehensive, well-rounded, and rigorous educational experiences to all students, which are supported by engaging teaching strategies.

These include but are not limited to the following:

Gifted and Talented Education - Students who are identified as gifted and talented are provided with academic enrichment activities and classroom work as well as differentiated instruction and leadership development. In addition, the district hosts special GATE parent education sessions and educational field trips.

Advanced Placement classes - a variety of arts-related activities, honor societies, after school clubs, academic competitions, and Certificate Programs are among the offerings available for high school students. International Baccalaureate - Rowland Unified School District now has over 500 students enrolled in the IB program.

Last year many students from Rowland and Nogales High Schools completed the requirements and had the honor of being RUSD IB diploma graduates.

Family Resource Center (FRC) - The FRC provides an array of social services such as parent education, provider education, and parent and child interactive programs, along with health and support services.

6th Grade Algebra - This program, which earned a California School Board Golden Bell Award, readies students for advanced math in intermediate and high school. Algebra is a graduation requirement. Students who take Algebra early have the flexibility in their schedule to take more Advanced Placement (AP) and/or International Baccalaureate (IB) courses when in high school.

Transitional Kindergarten - Transitional kindergarten allows for pre-kindergarten age students to receive instruction in a classroom that is commensurate with their age and ability level.

Technology - Access to technology is woven into the curriculum, and the graduation requirements. Most classrooms have computer stations, as well as other technology that supports classroom learning. Structured computer lab time ensures that students are well-versed and practiced in using today's technology for homework, classroom projects, and research.

M.I.N.D. Institute - A research-based K-4 math literacy program that integrates music (electronic keyboard instruction) and math video games to teach complex, abstract math concepts.

Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) - a college preparatory program that holds students accountable to the highest standards and provides academic and social support. AVID brings research-based curriculum and strategies to students each day that develop critical thinking, literacy, and math skills across all content areas.

Community Day School - Small class sizes enable teachers in RUSD's three Community Day School classrooms to provide personalized instruction to students, in grades 7 through 12, who have discipline/attendance problems. This class format enables students to improve their grades, make up credits, and improve their school attendance and return to their home school.

Summer School Programs for students at the Elementary and Secondary levels.

Supplemental educational services (SES) were provided under a school's federal accountability PI status. Additional academic instruction was provided outside of the regular school day and designed to increase the academic achievement of students attending these schools.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$44,796	\$44,958
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$73,548	\$70,581
Highest Teacher Salary	\$94,458	\$91,469
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$128,552	\$113,994
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$119,900	\$120,075
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$142,623	\$130,249
Superintendent Salary	\$230,000	\$218,315
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	37%	38%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

The Rowland Unified School District’s major areas of focus for professional development are the District’s Essential Priorities for Teaching and Learning: Strengthen Best First Instruction and RTI 2, Implement Districtwide Agreements about Efficacious Instruction, Build Cultural Proficiency Across the System to Foster and Sustain Literacy Improvement for Whole Systems Change. The major professional development initiatives include focusing on 21st century skills as the overarching premise while developing the following:

- Unpacking the Next Generation Science Standards
- Defining First Best Instruction through the RUSD Framework for Efficacious Instruction and training on skills-based and inquiry-based models
- Integrating Technology into Instruction Reflecting on and Improving Practice through Instructional Rounds
- Providing New Teacher Support
- Implementing Literacy Interventions of iRead and System 44 Expanding Advancement Via Individual Determination Program training

The professional learning described includes processes and structures to promote organizational learning and connectedness. Instructional coaches support TK-12 teachers, site teams, and site leadership with modeling of lessons, supporting through the coaching cycle, planning lessons, reflecting on lessons after delivery, lesson design, and incorporating best practices and research based effective learning strategies.