

# School Accountability Report Card

## Reported Using Data from the 2010-11 School Year

### Published During 2011-12

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

## I. Data and Access

### EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II. About This School

### Contact Information (School Year 2011-12)

School		District	
<b>School Name</b>	Agnes L. Smith Elementary School	<b>District Name</b>	Huntington Beach City School District
<b>Street</b>	770 17th Street	<b>Phone Number</b>	(714) 964-8888
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	Huntington Beach, CA 92648	<b>Web Site</b>	<a href="http://www.hbcasd.k12.ca.us/">http://www.hbcasd.k12.ca.us/</a>
<b>Phone Number</b>	(714) 536-1469	<b>Superintendent</b>	Gregory Haulk
<b>Principal</b>	Carolyn Beck	<b>E-mail Address</b>	<a href="mailto:info@hbcasd.k12.ca.us">info@hbcasd.k12.ca.us</a>
<b>E-mail Address</b>	<a href="mailto:cbeck@hbcasd.us">cbeck@hbcasd.us</a>	<b>CDS Code</b>	30-66530-6028831

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

---

### Vision

High Academic Achievement for All Students

### Mission

The Relentless Pursuit of Learning

Smith Elementary School is located in the southern section of the City of Huntington Beach, three blocks from the Pacific Ocean. It was built in 1950 and was originally intended to be an extension of the current K-8 school called, "Central School" as an overflow for their crowded campus. As the population of the surrounding neighborhood grew, Smith became an elementary school in 1953. It is named after its first principal, Agnes L. Smith, Smith School is a learning community of 885 students in grades K-5, 50 teachers and support staff, and a thriving extended family of parents and community members. The classrooms are equipped with multiple computers, and are high speed internet ready with remote wireless capability. We also have Smartboards and document cameras in every classroom. Our campus is an inviting, safe, clean, attractive campus that provides an optimal learning environment and is an asset to our community.

The Smith School staff represents a stable family of educators which helps in the continuity of skill development and long-term school planning. The staff years of service ranges from one to forty-one years. Smith teachers are caring and dedicated individuals who exemplify the traits of lifelong learning and commitment to excellence. The Smith family works collaboratively seeking new and innovative ways to enhance the teaching/learning processes, and thus remain focused on our vision of Academic Excellence for All. Teachers participate willingly in professional development opportunities designed to address areas of focus as delineated in our single school plan.

One needs only to look at the extraordinary growth of our students to know that we have truly achieved greatness. Over the last several years, our API has grown from 791 to 896. In spite of our success, we are diligent in our relentless pursuit of learning. Smith Surfers continually strive to be the very best as we Ride the Wave of Success.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

---

Parents and the community are very supportive of the educational programs at Smith School. The Smith School Parent Teacher Association (PTA) has made generous contributions of time and money to numerous programs and activities, including: field trips, assemblies, book fairs, skating parties, Art Masters, Art Reflections, and Family Art Nights. The PTA has also established a school nutrition task force that makes recommendations for snacks at parties and in the lunch program. The schools programs have also been enriched by the generous contributions made by the following organizations: Huntington Beach Education Foundation, Rainbow Recycling, Barnes & Noble Booksellers, Huntington Harbor Philharmonic, Target, Las Damas, Boys & Girls Clubs.

Many parents also participate in programs where their employers match donations to the school. The Huntington Beach Education Foundation, a joint business and educational partnership, provides funding for teacher mini-grants, classroom speakers, and supplemental materials.

Parents are encouraged to be involved at Smith School by volunteering in the classroom, planning school PTA sponsored activities such as the Pumpkin Patch and Jog-a-thon and by joining one of the many PTA or school committees that enhance our school program. Parents can go to our PTA website at [www.smithpta.org](http://www.smithpta.org) to learn how to get involved. Also, parents can serve on our School Site Council or ELAC committee by participating in our election process during the fall of each school year.

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010-11)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	118
Grade 1	146
Grade 2	121
Grade 3	151
Grade 4	168
Grade 5	158
Total Enrollment	863

### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2010-11)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.2	White	66.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.5	Two or More Races	6.4
Asian	5.9	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	1.2
Filipino	0.5	English Learners	6.3
Hispanic or Latino	19.5	Students with Disabilities	6.6
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0		

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	2008-09				2009-10				2010-11			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	30.3	0	4	0	27.2	0	5	0	29.3	0	3	0
1	18.9	8	0	0	19.71	0	7	0	31.3	0	4	0
2	19.7	7	0	0	20.57	2	5	0	30	0	4	0
3	31	0	5	0	30.6	0	5	0	29.2	0	5	0
4	30.3	0	4	0	29.2	0	2	3	32.5	0	4	2
5	30.3	0	4	0	27.4	0	5	0	31.2	0	5	0
Other	0	0	0	0								

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

## III. School Climate

### School Safety Plan (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

Many people visit the campus to volunteer in the classroom and participate in school events. Parents and visitors are welcomed and required to check in at the school office upon arrival and obtain a visitors badge; visitors are required to return to the school office upon departure. During lunch, recesses, and before and after school, yard supervisors and teachers monitor students and school grounds, including the cafeteria and playgrounds, to ensure a safe and orderly environment. The Comprehensive Safe School Plan was developed by the district to comply with Senate Bill 187 (SB 187) of 1997. The plan provides students and staff a means of ensuring a safe and orderly learning environment. Each school includes the following requirements of SB 187 within their safe school plans: current status of school crime; child abuse reporting procedures; disaster procedures, routine and emergency; policies related to suspension and expulsion; notification to teachers; sexual harassment policy; provision of a school-wide dress code; safe ingress and egress of pupils, parents, and school employees; safe and orderly school environment; and school rules and procedures.

The school evaluates the plan annually and updates it as needed. Safety procedures, including elements of the Safe School Plan, are reviewed with school and district staff in the fall, at the start of each school year. The plan was last updated and reviewed with school staff in October of 2010.

The district progressively addresses structural needs at each school to ensure facilities are safe and comply with education codes as well as building and safety regulations. Improvements at the Smith campus include replacement of roofing, installation of a new telephone system, and modernization of five classroom buildings. A \$30 million bond (Measure DD) combined with a \$17 million Prop 47 allocation provide the necessary funding for District wide modernization efforts. Smith School received an apportionment of \$5.3 million for its upgrades and modernization projects.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Suspensions	0.84	0.49	0.7	1.98	4.05	4.23
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.06	0.01	0.09

\* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

## IV. School Facilities

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

---

**Year and month in which data were collected:** August 26, 2011

Smith School was built at various times during the 1950's with portable classroom buildings added in the late 1980's through the 1990's. This site consists of an office area, library, multipurpose room, original 24 classrooms and 14 portable classrooms. The site also has a YMCA portable building used for before and after school child care.

The 17th street parking lot was recently expanded to provide more parking and improve safety in the student drop-off area. The new parking area includes some pervious pavement that reduces runoff of storm water, allowing water to soak into the ground naturally at the site.

Interior and exterior lighting was upgraded with more efficient lighting in all areas. Lighting sensors were installed in all classrooms and restroom buildings.

A solar array was added next to the multipurpose room to provide shade for lunch tables and 62.5 kW DC of power for the site. This project was part of a District-wide energy conservation project financed from energy savings, rebates and California Solar Initiative incentives. The project will result in net savings to the general fund. The playground area surface under the new solar array was removed and replaced as part of this project.

Other improvement completed during 2011 included complete exterior painting, overlay and sealing of employee parking area, 1 new concrete ramp with handrails for a portable building and repair of 3 existing ramps with handrails, a new roof on portable building J, gutter repair or replacement throughout the site, and new floor tile in 8 restrooms.

Planned improvements include floor tile replacement in all remaining restrooms.

Facilities are inspected continuously by custodial and maintenance personnel and work orders are submitted as needed.

### School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	
<b>Overall Rating</b>	[ ]	[X]	[ ]	[ ]	

## V. Teachers

### Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2010-11
<b>With Full Credential</b>	35	37	35	274
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	0	0	0	---

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
<b>Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners</b>	0	0	0
<b>Total Teacher Misassignments</b>	0	0	0
<b>Vacant Teacher Positions</b>	1	0	0

\* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\*\* "Vacant Teacher Positions" refer to positions not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester.

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010-11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/st/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by	
	NCLB Compliant Teachers	Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
<b>This School</b>	0	0
<b>All Schools in District</b>	100	0
<b>High-Poverty Schools in District</b>	0	0
<b>Low-Poverty Schools in District</b>	100	0

\* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## VI. Support Staff

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010-11)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	.33	879
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.12	---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	N/A	---
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	.33	---
Psychologist	.53	---
Social Worker	N/A	---
Nurse	N/A	---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.4	---
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	N/A	---
Other	N/A	---

\* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

## VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011-12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instructional materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

**Year and month in which data were collected:** October 2011

Pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California, Huntington Beach City School District thoroughly inspected each of its school sites at the start of the 2008-09 school year to determine whether or not each school had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, and/or science laboratory equipment.

All students, including English Learners, are required to be given their own individual textbooks and/or instructional materials (in core subjects), for use in the classroom. Additionally, all textbooks and instructional materials used within the District must be aligned with the California State Content Standards and frameworks, with final approval by the Board of Education.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Houghton Mifflin Adoption Year 2003	Yes	0.0%
Mathematics	Houghton Mifflin Adoption Year 2002	Yes	0.0%
Science	Pearson Scott Foresman Adoption Year 2008	Yes	0.0%
History-Social Science	Houghton Mifflin Adoption Year 2007  Scott Foresman - K-1 Adoption Year 2007	Yes	0.0%
Foreign Language			
Visual and Performing Arts			

## VIII. School Finances

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	4,498.79	861.09	3,637.71	75,261
District	---	---	1,294.56	73,041
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---	64.4%	3.0%
State	---	---	\$5,455	\$69,419
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	27.1%	2.4%

\* **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

\*\* **Basic/Unrestricted expenditures** are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

The Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) program offers additional support and opportunities to new teachers. The program is designed to assist those new to teaching to expand and deepen their teaching skills, help the school district retain more new teachers, and improve learning opportunities for students.

For experienced teachers, the Peer Assistance and Review Program utilizes the district's veteran teachers as "consultants" to assist with staff development district wide, with a particular focus on teacher needs.

The GATE program at our school serves approximately 10-12 students per grade level for grades two through five. This program provides challenging instruction to students capable of achieving significantly beyond the level of their peers. GATE classes are taught by fully credentialed, GATE-trained teachers, who annually participate and attend GATE training and workshops sponsored by the California Association for the Gifted.

Title I funding plays a critical role in the landmark education act more popularly known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB). Title I is federal money used to support additional staffing and programs to meet the needs of low income, low-achieving students and other designated students with special needs. Smith School uses Title I funds to assist students identified as failing, or most at risk of failing, to meet the State Board of Education standards, information regarding Title I designation assists parents and the school community to understand the impact NCLB will have on Smith School. NCLB Requires evaluation of student performance both school wide and by specific subgroups within the student population. Earobics is an intervention program used for Title I students.

Smith School sponsors many supplemental activities and educational programs for our students and their families. We have implemented English in a Flash to assist our English learners learn English.

**Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)**

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,989	\$41,692
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$77,179	\$68,251
Highest Teacher Salary	\$94,135	\$86,582
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$116,365	\$108,334
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$115,283	\$111,791
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$0	\$113,648
Superintendent Salary	\$190,000	\$180,492
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	46%	42%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	6%

\* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

**IX. Student Performance**

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

**Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison**

Subject	School			District			State		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
English-Language Arts	73	78	77	74	77	78	49	52	54
Mathematics	76	78	79	74	74	74	46	48	50
Science	78	90	85	79	82	84	50	54	57
History-Social Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	62	65	72	41	44	48

\* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	78	74	84	72
All Student at the School	77	79	85	N/A
Male	72	79	80	N/A
Female	82	79	90	N/A
Black or African American	0	0	0	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	N/A
Asian	83	85	0	N/A
Filipino	0	0	0	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	66	73	77	N/A
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				N/A
White	79	80	88	N/A
Two or More Races	82	87	0	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	62	62	86	N/A
English Learners	40	60	0	N/A
Students with Disabilities	64	59	50	N/A
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				N/A

\* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2010-11)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	15.5	27.7	37.4

\* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## X. Accountability

### Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

### Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
Statewide	9	9	9
Similar Schools	4	4	7

**Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison**

Group	Actual API Change		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
All Students at the School	8	23	-6
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino			-1
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White	4	20	-11
Two or More Races	N/D		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		36	-5
English Learners			
Students with Disabilities			

\* "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

**Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2011 Growth API Comparison**

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2011 Growth API					
	School		LEA		State	
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API
All Students at the School	593	896	5,307	895	4,683,676	778
Black or African American	1		31	869	317,856	696
American Indian or Alaska Native	2		31	873	33,774	733
Asian	44	915	502	945	398,869	898
Filipino	3		30	916	123,245	859
Hispanic or Latino	117	860	886	831	2,406,749	729
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0		22	848	26,953	764
White	390	902	3,511	903	1,258,831	845
Two or More Races	36	927	294	914	76,766	836
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	122	840	848	808	2,731,843	726
English Learners	44	810	440	805	1,521,844	707
Students with Disabilities	60	775	618	758	521,815	595

**Adequate Yearly Progress**

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

### Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010-11)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	N/A

### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011-12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	0
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	0

## XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

### Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance data reporting, etc.)?

---

All training and curriculum development at Smith School revolves around the California State Content Standards and Frameworks. Teachers align classroom curriculum to ensure that all students either meet or exceed state proficiency levels.

Huntington Beach City School District regularly collaborates with all levels of district and school site staff when developing professional development activities in alignment with the No Child Left Behind Improving Teacher Quality Expectations. Instructional program improvement is driven by student needs and guided by state standards and frameworks. District and school administrators use site trend analysis, state testing, district-level assessments, teacher response, and administrator observations to identify more effective methods and strategies to achieve positive results for teachers and students.

The Assistant Superintendent of Educational Services and principals meet monthly to analyze current instructional programs, instructional materials, implementation time lines, and student achievement. Feedback is gathered at the site level through direct input and staff observation. Periodic Curriculum Development Committees are formed to address identified areas of need and focus. In the 2011-2012 school year, three staff development days will be held during the school year which will involve curriculum based staff training in Thinking Maps for English Learners, word study skills, Common Core Standards and data analysis through Professional Learning Communities.

In alignment with the districts long-range curriculum plan, staff development opportunities are available for teachers, support personnel, administrators, and classified staff at Smith School. Teachers combine their knowledge of how students learn with the best teaching practices found in state frameworks and task force reports to provide a rigorous and comprehensive core curriculum for all grades. The school has focused extensively on reading and language arts in the area of staff development. Recent topics included Thinking Maps, Write from the Beginning writing program, Sitton Word Study Skills and the Dynamic Indicators of Basic Literacy Skills (DIBELS) assessments in grades K-5.

A portion of our school funds is used to enable staff members to attend teaching seminars and other events designed to enhance their teaching techniques and expand their knowledge base. Teachers new to the profession are supported by peer coaching and are encouraged to attend in-services offered by the West Orange County Professional Development Center. Teachers are encouraged to attend subject-specific seminars and major conferences and share what they learn with other staff members. The Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) program offers additional support and opportunities to new teachers. The program is designed to assist those new to teaching to expand and deepen their teaching skills, help the school district retain more new teachers, and improve learning opportunities for students.

Classified staff and instructional assistants are provided training and development opportunities at the school, district, and county levels. In-services for classified staff are geared to their specialty areas.