

# SARC 2015-16

## SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT CARD

PUBLISHED DURING 2016-17

### Parkview Elementary

Address: 330 Bluefield Dr. San Jose, CA 95136-2100

Principal: Amy Boles, Principal

Phone: (408) 226-4655

Email: amy\_boles@ogsd.net

Web Site:

CDS Code: 43696256093066

### Oak Grove Elementary

Superintendent: José Manzo

Phone: (408) 227-8300

Email: jmanzo@ogsd.net

Web Site: www.ogsd.net



## I DATA AND ACCESS

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

### DataQuest

%DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).%

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II ABOUT THIS SCHOOL

### District Contact Information Most Recent Year

District Name: Oak Grove Elementary  
 Phone Number: (408) 227-8300  
 Superintendent: José Manzo  
 E-mail Address: [jmanzo@ogsd.net](mailto:jmanzo@ogsd.net)  
 Web Site: [www.ogsd.net](http://www.ogsd.net)

### School Contact Information Most Recent Year

School Name: Parkview Elementary  
 Street: 330 Bluefield Dr.  
 City, State, Zip: San Jose, CA 95136-2100  
 Phone Number: (408) 226-4655  
 Principal: Amy Boles, Principal  
 E-mail Address: [amy\\_boles@ogsd.net](mailto:amy_boles@ogsd.net)  
 Web Site:  
 County-District-School  
 (CDS) Code: 43696256093066

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016–17)

Parkview is one of nineteen schools in the Oak Grove School District, a district that is committed to developing an inclusive, caring educational community in which each individual has an equal opportunity to achieve his or her potential. At Parkview, students, staff and the community are guided by a shared vision: Parkview Elementary fosters a respectful, responsible, and safe environment. Student learning spans across content areas through the use of hands on projects, research based curriculum and cutting edge technology. Together, teachers, parents, and community collaborate for student success. Our school empowers students to develop skills with confidence to reach their full potential.

To facilitate the learning process, teachers collaborate in Professional Learning Communities to regularly analyze student data to determine next steps and needs in instruction. Teachers collaborate around grade level standards, plan strategies to enable all students access to the curriculum, and set goals around student progress. We provide a balanced literacy program where students are exposed to large group, as well as small group instruction in reading and writing. Teachers differentiate instruction in language arts and math so that students are supported or challenged as needed. We strive to meet the needs of our English language learners through systematic language instruction that targets students' specific levels. Our aim is to ensure academic proficiency of all students, regardless of race, gender or socioeconomic status. The mission of Parkview School is to eliminate the performance gap through rigorous instruction, a partnership with the community and high expectations for all students and staff.

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Transitional Kindergarten	0
Kindergarten	100
Grade 1	78
Grade 2	92
Grade 3	68
Grade 4	80
Grade 5	80
Grade 6	69
Total Enrollment	567

### Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	6.7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0%
Asian	24.5%
Filipino	5.6%
Hispanic or Latino	48%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.9%
White	9.9%
Two or More Races	4.4%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	50.8%
English Learners	38.3%
Students with Disabilities	4.6%
Foster Youth	0%

## A. CONDITIONS OF LEARNING

### STATE PRIORITY: BASIC

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

### Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School 2014-15	School 2015-16	School 2016-17	District 2016-17
With Full Credential	26	21	22	466
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	7
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	2

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

NOTE: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.00%	.00%
All Schools in District	99.86%	.14%
High-Poverty Schools in District	100.00%	.00%
Low-Poverty Schools in District	99.76%	.24%

NOTE: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016–17)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Month and year in which data were collected: August 2016

Subject	Textbooks and instructional materials/year of adoption	From most recent adoption?	% Students lacking own assigned copy
Reading/Language Arts	Adopted in 2014/excellent quality/one per student	Engage New York	0%
Mathematics	Adopted in 2014/excellent quality/one per student	Engage New York at TK-6 College Preparatory Math at 7-8	0%
Science	Adopted in 2001/excellent quality/one per student	Harcourt School Publishers, Harcourt Science. Prentice Hall Publishers, Science Explorer.	0%
History-Social Science	Adopted in 1999/excellent quality/one per student	Mc Graw Hill, Adventures in Time and Place.	0%
Foreign Language			0%
Health		Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, HBJ Health	0%
Visual and Performing Arts		Silver Burdett & Ginn, World of Music	0%
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)			0%

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

As per the Williams' settlement, all Oak Grove schools are maintained in a manner that assures a clean, safe, and functional site as determined by periodic evaluation.

Measure P: On November 4, 2014 the voters in Oak Grove School District approved the issuance of Measure P. Measure P authorized the issuance of \$89.8 million in bonds to benefit the Oak Grove School District. The funds are meant to be used to renovate, repair, acquire, construct and modernize classrooms and facilities, and increase student access to computers and technology.

During the summer of 2016, this site will have the HVAC and Roofing renovations completed.

As per the Williams' settlement, all Oak Grove schools are maintained in a manner that assures a clean, safe, and functional site as determined by periodic evaluation. After the successful completion of the measure Q Bond program, the Oak Grove community approved Measure S in November 2008 that authorized an additional \$125 million General Obligation Bond to continue needed school modernization projects, construct two new intermediate school gymnasiums, and solar technology systems throughout the district. Combined with local Routine Repair funds and State Deferred Maintenance funds, future facility improvements will insure that critical services continue to be provided for our students for years to come.

### School Facility Good Repair Status

Using the most recent FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The Overall Rating

Month and year in which data were collected: January 2017

System Inspected	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned		
	Good	Fair	Poor
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	✓	-	-
Interior: Interior Surfaces	✓	-	-
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	✓	-	-
Electrical: Electrical	✓	-	-
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	✓	-	-
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	✓	-	-
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	✓	-	-
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	✓	-	-

### Overall Facility Rate

Month and year in which data were collected: January 2017

	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall Rating	-	✓	-	-

## B. PUPIL OUTCOMES

### STATE PRIORITY: PUPIL ACHIEVEMENT

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests) System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

### CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts/ Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	50%	56%	47%	50%	44%	48%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	38%	48%	38%	42%	34%	36%

NOTE: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group (ELA)  
 Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2015–16)  
 ELA - Grade 3

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	73	71	97.26%	46.48%
Male	33	31	93.94%	45.16%
Female	40	40	100.00%	47.50%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	22	22	100.00%	72.73%
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	33	33	100.00%	30.30%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	37	37	100.00%	29.73%
English Learners	27	26	96.30%	30.77%
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

NOTE: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

NOTE: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.



## ELA - Grade 4

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	83	81	97.59%	49.38%
Male	39	38	97.44%	42.11%
Female	44	43	97.73%	55.81%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	19	19	100.00%	73.68%
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	44	44	100.00%	38.64%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	53	53	100.00%	37.74%
English Learners	30	30	100.00%	23.33%
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

NOTE: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

NOTE: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## ELA - Grade 5

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	77	76	98.70%	55.26%
Male	42	42	100.00%	52.38%
Female	35	34	97.14%	58.82%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	14	14	100.00%	78.57%
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	43	42	97.67%	50.00%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	38	38	100.00%	52.63%
English Learners	16	16	100.00%	25.00%
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

NOTE: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

NOTE: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## ELA - Grade 6

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	73	69	94.52%	73.91%
Male	34	32	94.12%	68.75%
Female	39	37	94.87%	78.38%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	30	29	96.67%	96.55%
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	26	24	92.31%	41.67%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	30	29	96.67%	48.28%
English Learners	13	13	100.00%	23.08%
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

NOTE: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

NOTE: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## CAASPP Assessment Results –Mathematics

## Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven (School Year 2015–16)

## Mathematics - Grade 3

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	73	72	98.63%	54.29%
Male	33	32	96.97%	61.29%
Female	40	40	100.00%	48.72%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	22	22	100.00%	80.95%
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	33	33	100.00%	36.36%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	37	37	100.00%	44.44%
English Learners	27	26	96.30%	36.00%
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

NOTE: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The “Percent Met or Exceeded” is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

NOTE: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## Mathematics - Grade 4

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	83	82	98.80%	39.02%
Male	39	39	100.00%	41.03%
Female	44	43	97.73%	37.21%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	19	19	100.00%	63.16%
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	44	44	100.00%	31.82%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	53	53	100.00%	33.96%
English Learners	30	30	100.00%	16.67%
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

NOTE: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

NOTE: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## Mathematics - Grade 5

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	77	76	98.70%	41.33%
Male	42	42	100.00%	51.22%
Female	35	34	97.14%	29.41%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	14	14	100.00%	76.92%
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	43	42	97.67%	26.19%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	38	38	100.00%	27.03%
English Learners	16	16	100.00%	26.67%
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

NOTE: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

NOTE: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## Mathematics - Grade 6

Student Groups	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	73	70	95.89%	61.43%
Male	34	32	94.12%	53.13%
Female	39	38	97.44%	68.42%
Black or African American	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	30	30	100.00%	80.00%
Filipino	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	26	24	92.31%	37.50%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	30	30	100.00%	36.67%
English Learners	13	13	100.00%	15.38%
Students with Disabilities	--	--	--	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--

NOTE: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

NOTE: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

## CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Subject	Percentage of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	48%	52%	30%	60%	56%	55%	60%	56%	54%

## CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number of Students with Valid Scores	Percent of Students with Valid Scores	Percent Proficient or Advanced
All Students	77	76	98.70%	30.26%
Male	42	42	100.00%	35.71%
Female	35	34	97.14%	23.53%
Black or African American	–	–	–	–
American Indian or Alaska Native	–	–	–	–
Asian	14	14	100.00%	64.29%
Filipino	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	43	42	97.67%	21.43%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–
White	–	–	–	–
Two or More Races	–	–	–	–
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	38	38	100.00%	21.05%
English Learners	16	16	100.00%	18.75%
Students with Disabilities	–	–	–	–
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	–	–	–	–
Foster Youth	–	–	–	–



## STATE PRIORITY: OTHER PUPIL OUTCOMES

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Percentage of Students Meeting Four of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Five of Six Fitness Standards	Percentage of Students Meeting Six of Six Fitness Standards
5	21.10%	15.80%	25.00%

NOTE: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. ENGAGEMENT

### STATE PRIORITY: PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement - (School Year 2016–17)

Parkview has a highly active parent community that is meaningfully involved in the education process. There are three different parent involvement groups, including the following: Parkview School Community Association All-Inclusive Parent Group (PSCA), School Site Council (SSC), and our Action Team Partnership (ATP) group that focuses on parent involvement and engagement. All of these groups work cooperatively to provide the best instructional program possible for ALL students.

Our PSCA All-Inclusive parent group is an active organization of parents and community members who work to support the programs at Parkview. This year, we've combined all of our cultural parent subgroups to participate in one parent organization. Their financial assistance from fund raisers provide for field trips, the Books and Beyond reading motivation program, technology, our Vietnamese Heritage Language After School Program, extended day academic academies and educational experiences both in and out of the classroom.

### STATE PRIORITY: SCHOOL CLIMATE

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

## Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate*	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	1.62	1.80	2.26	4.05	1.75	2.64	4.36	3.80	3.65
Expulsions	0.00	0.00		0.05	0.00	0.01	0.10	0.09	0.09

### School Safety Plan – Most Recent Year

Providing a safe school is a high priority for Parkview School. To this end, there are continuous improvement processes and collaborative efforts that provide comprehensive health education and a strong academic foundation within a safe and secure learning environment.

Attendance is closely monitored and students with unexcused absences of 10% or more receive law/school district/partnership intervention. Truancy abatement is a common goal of the school/district and other agency collaborative partnerships. Currently Parkview recognizes positive attendance.

We also have ongoing classroom instruction and use social settings inside and outside the classroom to focus on the life skills of empathy, anger management, and problem solving.

Each year staff is educated, trained, and practices the concepts of the National Emergency Management System which includes fire drills, earthquake evacuation, first aid procedures, communicable disease action plan, and emergency evacuation maps.

We balance the school discipline plan with positive incentives, as well as appropriate alternative consequence plans. School-wide expectations to be respectful, responsible and safe are posted in each classroom. Positive incentives are offered for displaying these expectations. Alternatives reviewed as options to positive discipline include campus clean-up, campus projects, incentives, community services, behavior plans/contracts, Friday School, site or off-site group or individual counseling, and/or alternative placements.

We strive to improve the system of publications to students, staff, and community which will include or focus on student awards/recognition, rewards, school activities, achievements, acknowledgments, and announcements.

## D. OTHER SARC INFORMATION

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	4
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	100.0%

NOTE: Cells with NA values do not require data.

## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

Grade Level	Avg. Class Size	2013-14 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2014-15 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2015-16 Number of Classes*		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	28	1	4		26		4		24		4	
1	30		2		26		4		26		3	
2	30		3		26		3		24		3	
3	29		3		26		3		26		2	
4	31		1	2	30		3		32		2	
5	33		1	1	31		2		29		2	
6	34			3	30		3		27		2	
Other									27		2	

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

## Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	Avg. Class Size	2013-14 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2014-15 Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	2015-16 Number of Classes*		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English												
Mathematics												
Science												
Social Science												

\* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

## Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.2	N/A
Psychologist	.4	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse		N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.4	N/A
Resource Specialist (non - teaching)	1	N/A
Other		N/A

NOTE: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

## Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site				
District	N/A	N/A		\$73229
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A		
State	N/A	N/A	\$5677	\$75137
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A		

NOTE: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Programs funded by categorical or “extra student” funds include:

1. Extended Day and Year Programs
2. Remedial Services provided by teachers
3. Community Liaison and Mental Health Services
4. Coaching (mentoring for staff development)
5. Instructional supplies and technology
6. Visual/performing arts
7. Programs for high achievers and GATE students
8. Safe Schools Specialist who supports student attendance
9. Professional development workshops and visitations

## Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$47730	\$44573
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$74704	\$72868
Highest Teacher Salary	\$91651	\$92972
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$124357	\$116229
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$126593	\$119596
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$121883
Superintendent Salary	\$231099	\$201784
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	38%	39%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

NOTE: For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

## Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Our school provides extensive training for teachers in the implementation of standards, development of effective assessment, and understanding our Instructional Focus Plan for student achievement. There are numerous opportunities for participation in district in-services, workshops, and committees. Currently much emphasis at the site level has been on Structured English Language Development instruction for English Language Learners and raising achievement for students who are traditionally under-served in schools, according to state performance data. Transitional Kindergarten-Third Grade Classes participate in extensive academic language development through our partnership with SEAL (Sobrato Early Academic Lanugage. Our Fourth-Sixth Graders have partnered up with PBL Global to design engaging, standards-based project based learning opportunities. We have a leadership team that lead site staff development around analyzing student work, developing effective strategies in the classroom, and using grade level time to improve instruction. Grade level professional learning communities will focus around writing, math problem solving and technology integration during their collaboration time. The staff has two days of professional development a year in September and January. We also adjust Tuesdays for eleven days of professional development, eleven days of grade level planning and eleven days of individual teacher planning.