Norwin School District 2012-13 Projected Budget As of March 12, 2012

THE AMOUNTS INCLUDED IN THIS
PRESENTATION ARE BASED ON
PROJECTIONS OF 2011-12 YEAR TO DATE
REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES, ALONG
WITH INFORMATION CURRENTLY
AVAILABLE FOR THE 2012-13 YEAR. THIS
DOCUMENT IS NOT INTENDED TO BE A
PRELIMINARY OR TENTATIVE BUDGET

JOHN H. WILSON
DIRECTOR OF BUSINESS AFFAIRS

2012-13 Projected Budget Overview

- Revenues of \$57.9M, an increase of \$1.2M over 2011-12 (2.1%), including use of \$481,000 of fund balance in 2011-12 budget
- Expenditures of \$60.2M, an increase of \$3.5 M over 2011-12 (6.1%)
- Current shortfall of \$2.25M

2011-12 vs. 2012-13 Budget Comparisons Revenues

Local Revenues

- Real Estate Taxes
 - * \$200,000 from assessment increases (.8%)
 - No millage increase included (Index maximum is 1.45 mills)
 - Value of 1 mill of tax is approximately \$365,000
 - 1.45 mill increase would generate \$530,000
- Earned Income Taxes
 - **\$400,000** increase
 - Accelerated collections from Act 32 implementation
- Tax Commissions
 - Removed as a result of Act 32 (\$130,000)

2011-12 vs. 2012-13 Budget Comparisons Revenues

State and Federal Revenue Impact

- Governor proposed new subsidy
 - Combines transportation and social security subsidies with the basic education subsidy.
 - All subsidy amounts were frozen at 2011-12 levels
 - ▼ PROBLEM These subsidies historically have been formula driven (generating cost of living type increases) Now they will be frozen unless specific action is taken to increase them
 - Accountability grant was eliminated (\$225,000)
 - In 2011-12, the charter school subsidy was eliminated (\$170,000) and the accountability grant was reduced (\$340,000)
 - Retirement subsidy increased by \$615,000 (1/2 of retirement cost increase)

2011-12 vs. 2012-13 Budget Comparisons Revenues

- State and Federal Revenue Impact (continued)
 - o Debt service subsidy will increase as the District's bond payments increase when the reductions from last year's refunding are phased back in. This will occur for 2 years
 - o Federal funding (Title 1, Title 2, IDEA) continues to decline
 - o Title 2 carryover funds are available for 2012-13
 - Currently in the process of finalizing annual revenue for Medical Access funding

2011-12 vs. 2012-13 Budget Comparisons Expenditures

- Salaries are increasing at normal cost of living levels
- Salary and benefit savings of \$345,000 due to retirements are factored into the projected budget
- Salaries increase by 1%, while benefits increase by 14.9%, mainly caused by retirement (43%) and health care (10%) cost increases
- Debt service payments increase by \$1.2M as a result of the 2011 refunding
- Tuition payments and tax collector commissions are causing professional service costs to increase

2011-12 vs. 2012-13 Budget Comparisons Expenditures

- Other Services are decreasing because of savings in the District's transportation costs
- Supplies have increased because of the need for new textbooks throughout the secondary level
- Ongoing cost savings initiatives
 - Energy Education
 - Electrical contract bid
 - o ISP and WAN bid
 - o Demand Response program
 - Software Support

Future Projections

- State funding will remain flat with the exception of the retirement subsidy, which potentially could be rolled into the new block grant, resulting in no increased funding
- Federal revenues will continue to decline slightly
- Retirement costs will continue to increase from 12.36% in 2012-13 to 25.56% by 2015-16 (a net increase of \$1.85M over 3 years)
- Debt service payments will increase by \$515,000 in 2013-14 and then remain stable

Future Projections

- Real estate assessment increases will rebound to their historical average of 1.25% to 1.5%
- Earned income tax collections will continue to increase in 2013-14 and 2014-15 because of the "run out" of delinquent taxes from 2009 through 2011 and then drop in 2015-16
- The index, which sets the maximum millage increase allowed without using exceptions, will grow slowly
- The dollar amount of exceptions which exceed the index will drop as restrictions will reduce eligible expenditures

Fund Balance Analysis

- Current projections suggest the District will break-even for 2011-12 (No use of fund balance)
- Components of the Fund Balance
 - Uncommitted \$4.25M
 - Committed \$750,000 for retirement
- Impact of Using Fund Balance
 - Compounding effect when using fund balance for recurring expenditures
 - Use \$500,000 in 2012-13 to balance the budget
 - Expenditures exceed revenues by \$1M in 2013-14 budget. Requires use of \$1.5M in fund balance (\$.5M budgeted in 2012-13)
 - o 2014-15 budget starts with \$1.5M deficit

Areas Under Review

- Staffing
- Health insurance
- Tuition costs
- Transportation
- Extra-curricular programs
- Revenue Enhancements
- Walmart Property
- Millage Increase
- Use of Fund Balance